

THE "REFUGEE" CRISIS ESSAYS

MORGOTH

Table of Contents

[Title Page](#)

[A Note from the Editor](#)

PART I

POPULAR CULTURE

Music, Movies, Advertisements, and Sport

[Taylor Swift and How Feminism is a Psyop Against White People](#)

[Cohen's New World Order](#)

[Dances With Wolves: Nationalist Masterpiece?](#)

[The Maleficent Matriarchy](#)

[Easy Jet Breaks The Cycle Of Life](#)

[Big Brother's Rugby World Cup Propaganda](#)

PART II

THE FAUX RIGHT

Classical Liberals, Libertarians, Cuckservatives, et al.

[The Limitations Of Sargon Of Akkad's Liberalism](#)

[Are You A British Cuckservative?](#)

[David Duke Destroys Kosher Conspiracy King Alex Jones](#)

[Get The Women & Jews To Safety!](#)

PART III

LEFTISTS

Parasites, Tools, Fanatics, and More

[Left-Wing Virtual Reality](#)

[An Encounter With the Anti-fascists](#)
[Kids Company And The Parasite Class](#)
[Post Capitalism: The Shallowness Of The Left](#)
[The Religious Fanaticism Of The Left](#)
[The Ultimate Cucks](#)

PART IV **SUBVERSIVES**

[Yes, Breitbart, the Jews Did Open the Gates](#)
[Ashley Madison: Every. Damn. Time!](#)
[Jeremy Corbyn and The Jewish Question](#)
[Resisting The Chosenite BBC](#)
[Zionist MP Spits In The Face Of The White British](#)

PART V **NARRATIVES**

[Re-Configuring The Narrative: the “Refugee” Crisis](#)
[The Black Narrative](#)
[Tonight, On Global Village TV...](#)

PART VI **WOMEN AND GIRLS**

[Celebrating The Beauty Of Red-Haired European Women](#)
[Muslim Lives Matter. English Girls’ Do Not](#)

PART VII **PLACES**

On Eastern Europe, France, and Germany

Project White Genocide: Globalists Prepare Eastern Offensive

France: The Land Without Race?

Germany and The Long Defeat

EPILOGUE: Wishing You All A Merry Christmas

[Title Page](#)

The “Refugee” Crisis

A Second Collection of Essays, 2015

by

Morgoth

of Morgoth's Review

A Note from the Editor

Welcome to *The “Refugee” Crisis*, the second book in a series that collects the blog posts of Morgoth’s Review. This volume covers the second half of 2015.

Here Morgoth really comes into his own. He keeps the trademark humour and level-headed good sense from his early days. But now he had added some long-form essays, such as those on the fatal limitations of classical liberalism, or a surprisingly deep analysis of Taylor Swift, which are absolutely authoritative.

Wait... *Taylor Swift*? What is *she* doing in a book of dissident essays? Morgoth has often said, and I couldn’t agree with him more, that it is a catastrophic mistake to leave the field of culture to our political enemies. Culture shapes our very sense of reality, of how the world works. Would you want to give power over reality itself to your political enemies? Indeed, one reason our society is a madhouse is that the sense of reality imparted to people from ill-intentioned popular culture is the opposite of the *rea*/reality.

Or to take it a bit deeper, one might argue that, in an age of religious decline, the narratives we absorb from popular culture *become* our religion. And if there’s anything that might overpower reality, it’s religion. That’s why I always put the cultural essays at the start of the collections. It’s important.

This book does, however, save the best for last. The final essay, *Germany and The Long Defeat*, is a searing, must-read piece.

And as always, I should remind you that it’s important to *support our guys*. You can do that here:

<https://www.subscribestar.com/morgoth-s-review>

<https://www.patreon.com/Morgoth>

<https://ko-fi.com/morgoth1>

George Elwit

January 2020

Part I

Popular Culture

Music, Movies, Advertisements, and Sport

Taylor Swift and How Feminism is a Psyop Against White People

September 2015



Taylor Swift is being lambasted for her new music video, *Wildest Dreams*. Commentators and journalists are accusing her of racism. Viviane Rutabingwa and James Kassaga Arinaitwe write in NPR:

In the video Taylor Swift is dressed as a colonial-era woman on African soil. With just a few exceptions, the cast in the video — the actors playing her boyfriend and a movie director and his staff — all appear to be white.

We are shocked to think that in 2015, Taylor Swift, her record label and her video production group would think it was OK to film a video that presents a glamorous version of the white colonial fantasy of Africa. But it still stings.



Who would want to emulate this fantasy?



Or this?



When she could have been showing the vibrant reality, this.



Or this.

It goes on:

Here are some facts for Swift and her team: Colonialism was neither romantic nor beautiful. It was exploitative and brutal. The legacy of colonialism still lives quite

loudly to this day. Scholars have argued that poor economic performance, weak property rights and tribal tensions across the continent can be traced to colonial strategies. So can other woes. In a place full of devastation and lawlessness, diseases spread like wildfire, conflict breaks out and dictators grab power.

Excuses, excuses. Meanwhile in Singapore and Hong Kong, which were also colonised, things look rather more like this:



Zoom in really closely and you'll see Chinese victims of colonialism hacking at each other with machetes too

The same scholars presumably have rather less to say about this.

Taylor Swift has been the target of scorn for several years, with feminists taking offence, apparently, at her positioning as a “good girl” who writes simple, heterosexual love songs that have mentioned virginity in a positive way. A black woman writer at Jezebel:

It's been printed on T-shirts and postcards and throw pillows: “Well-behaved women seldom make history.” Taylor Swift's Grammy threatens to refute this. I

don't care about her personal choices, but her image of being good and pure plays right into how much the patriarchy fetishizes virginity, loves purity, and celebrates women who know their place as delicate flowers.

Today, though, Swift cuts a different image, having officially "quit" country music last year and now with Jew/new best friends, arch-feminists Lena Dunham and Lorde, in tow she is saying things like "misogyny is ingrained in people from the time they are born" and "so to me, feminism is probably the most important movement that you could embrace, because it's just basically another word for equality."

So all must surely be well for Swift now that she joined the feminist club, right? right? Well, no. The attacks have only been getting worse and more vicious because the world of feminism has moved on from its goal of demonising white men and encouraging women to sleep around and never settle down to have children: fourth-wave feminism has arrived and it's here to broadcast the message that whites are evil and that white women should "shut the fuck up" because they are "oppressors". Unfortunately, as time and history have shown, women are much more easily manipulated than men and this brainwashing has taken on a particularly brutal nature.

That "people of colour", otherwise known as the Coalition of Aggressive Non-whites Against white People, are coming out with more and more of this stuff as they grow in number and confidence is unsurprising, but what is so damaging is that third-wave feminism, already a subversive force that is bad for white women—turning them against white men via poisonous ideas like "rape culture", and putting them off having families—is quickly being superseded by fourth-wave, the goal of which seems to be entirely to teach white women that they are evil, their entire history and culture is evil, and that they must now, to be a "proper feminist", spend their entire lives apologising and grovelling to "people of colour" and campaigning against themselves and white men.

Women as "Defenders of the Status Quo"

It's easy to say "Ha, well, feminists have been hating on men for ages, it's great to see them get a taste of their own medicine." But the whole point of feminism from the beginning seems to be separation of white women from white men. And it's not just women who are hating their fellow whites—look at MGTOW, most of the "manosphere". These sites acknowledge biological differences and that women are more easily led, but also incite hatred towards white women despite the fact they have been so Jewed up they have no idea what they are doing. right up until the 1990s women were the essential part of the Conservative Party's electorate—they voted Conservative in far larger numbers than men and were far more socially conservative. They were protectors of the family unit under the fickle and easily-controlled system of "democracy" until the Frankfurt School and their followers decided that family life was "hate".

Third-Wave Feminism and the Demonisation of White Men

After CIA-funded and Bilderberg-backed second-wave feminism transformed women into good little worker bees for the elite, third-wave feminism was extremely useful to white genocide in a number of key ways.

This wave of feminism is the one that has muddied the concept of consent to the degree that it puts any white male having sex with women in danger. While white men are obviously the main victims of this state of affairs, impressionable white women are also negatively affected as many obviously have come to genuinely believe, via vile brainwashing, that consensual sex can have been a terrible "rape". This is why university students are now having "consent classes" where they are told that engaging in sexual activities after one glass of wine can be "rape".

Why is all this happening? Is it because, as many men-oriented websites say, women are just evil and vindictive? Or is it because whites need to be picked off as whites, not just as men?

It also implanted the key idea that the only reason there are more men in certain jobs than women is down to “sexism” and “male privilege”. This meme that humans are just programmable bipeds and any difference is down to “culture” will prove useful later.

Case Studies

Firstly I am going to show what “rape” typically is in the media, and that its third-wave form is often largely in feminists’ minds, having been transplanted there by...oh, whichever kind souls just have our women’s welfare in their minds, obviously. Then I am going to show the ramifications of what happens to a white man after he acquiesces to the request of a woman to “lick her out”; after that I will look at the British feminist response to a genuine attempted rape.

First, the “rape”. The woman in question was “couchsurfing” in the man’s house, and he was depressed after losing his job, his girlfriend, etc. But then...rape:

His attempt at seducing me in his bedroom worked. I did feel that I wanted to have sex with him. He told me we should go to my room because his room was opposite his parents. He suggested a cup of tea. I agreed.

He brought tea to my bedroom. I sat on the bed and he sat on the chair. I let him close the door. I let him undress me. I didn’t want to kiss him, but eventually I let him. I liked the feeling of being touched at the beginning. He examined every inch of my body, studying me like some kind of specimen. He touched me but I didn’t touch him back. He told me to, to show him some kind of love. Anything. I felt sorry for him. I told him I couldn’t love him and he said that he didn’t want my love anymore, or to have a relationship with me. He just wanted me. Maybe just my body. He told me to close my eyes, and he continued to touch me. I said it didn’t feel right anymore, and I tried to push him away. Not too forcefully though because I was afraid. I was completely dependant (sic) on him. I was in his family’s home. I didn’t know how to get to the nearest town. His family spoke only Spanish. He touched me. Then he turned me around onto my knees and stomach. I told him to stop, repeatedly. He didn’t.

It sounded like he was crying. I lay very still afterwards. I asked him why he didn’t stop and he said that it was too late. I said that was bullshit. He laughed. He said

that was the first time he heard me swear. He tried to touch me again and I pulled away from him. I got up and had a shower. When I came back into the room he was dressed and remaking the bed meticulously. I had never seen a bed being made so perfectly, despite the stain on the sheets. I watched him as I put fresh clothes on. He had folded the clothes he removed from me and placed them in a neat pile on the table. He was a perfectionist. After he made the bed he went to have a shower. I turned the light off and got into bed. I didn't know what had just happened. I felt physically disgusted, like I was a piece of meat. *[Misspellings in original. –Ed.]*

This was an article from a feminist magazine in the UK and exemplifies what is considered an “acceptable” rape story in today’s narrative. This sort of story fills up magazines, blogs and newspapers as an example of a typical rape, convincing millions of girls and women who have had sex and then changed their mind or not been sure about it that they have been raped. This builds distrust between white men and white women, as obviously Pakistani communities et cetera pay no attention to this sort of thing.

A high-profile instance was the constant media coverage of Emma “Mattress Girl” Sulkowicz’ “rape” by a German man. Half-Chinese, half-Jewish Emma has been featured in glossy magazines and the New York Times and had been celebrated for her “brave” performance-art piece of carrying a mattress round campus to express her disgust at her university having failed to expel the German. The wheels came off the outrage bus as it was exposed as a likely hoax given that Sulkowicz had repeatedly contacted her “attacker” in friendly and flirty terms for months and months after the alleged attack, yet because the story fit the narrative of Evil White Rapist, the media is still running with it even after the wheels have fallen off the bus, as VDare has reported.

A footballer, Ched Evans, was pretty much scared and threatened off ever playing football again. What he had done was extremely degenerate, but then the prevailing culture is extremely degenerate. A white woman had been “picked up”, in a kebab shop, by other footballers and proceeded to have sex with them. There were also black footballers involved (who got off, despite the fact she accused

them of rape, too). Anyway, she requested that this Ched Evans character perform oral sex on her and he did, she asked him to have sex with her and he did.

Now obviously all of this is a less than ideal situation and before white culture was subverted by, again, people who have our best interest at heart :^), especially the interests of women, it would have been unthinkable. But anyway, in the world where it happened, people campaigned long and hard to ensure Ched Evans never played football again. Celebrities lined up to lambaste the team he'd previously played for, teams which tried to sign him after he'd served his prison sentence were bombarded with calls and emails and protests until no one would dare touch him.

At the same time we have Lone Wells, who was nearly raped outside her home by a dindu. Lone bravely spoke out about her ordeal. I say "bravely" not because speaking out against rape is a brave thing in this day and age, as "rape", when it comes to campaigning nowadays, seems to refer to white working class men so much as wolf-whistling at a girl, but because hers was definitively the wrong sort of rapist.

Her attacker was a 17-year-old Somalian and the attack went like this:

When you were caught on CCTV following me through my own neighbourhood from the Tube, when you waited until I was on my own street to approach me, when you clapped your hand around my face until I could not breathe, when you pushed me to my knees until my face bled, when I wrestled with your hand just enough so that I could scream. When you dragged me by my hair, and when you smashed my head against the pavement and told me to stop screaming for help, when my neighbour saw you from her window and shouted at you and you looked her in the eye and carried on kicking me in the back and neck. When you tore my bra in half from the sheer force you grabbed my breast, when you didn't reach once for my belongings because you wanted my body, when you failed to have my body because all my neighbours and family came out, and you saw them face-to-face. When CCTV caught you running from your attempted assault on me... and then following another woman twenty minutes later from the same tube station before you were arrested on suspicion. When I was in the police station until 5am while you were four floors below me in custody, when I had to hand over

my clothes and photographs of the marks and cuts on my naked body to forensic teams – did you ever think of the people in your life?

This is from an open letter to her attempted rapist which went viral. What is most tragic about the letter is its naivety and that it exposes in full the fact that here in the West people genuinely believe everyone is born equal and that everyone is the same. As is put pretty well here, black people do not have the same feelings as white people, and whatever our moral educators and betters (:^)) in the West have led white people to believe, ethnocentrism is completely normal outside of the West, while whites are the only people who came to develop much of a conscience for those not in any traditional “in-group”.



She continues:

I don't know who the people in your life are. I don't know anything about you. But I do know this: you did not just attack me that night. I am a daughter, I am a friend, I am a girlfriend, I am a pupil, I am a cousin, I am a niece, I am a neighbour, I am the employee who served everyone down the road coffee in the café under the railway. All the people who form those relations to me make up my community, and you assaulted every single one of them. You violated the truth that I will never cease to fight for, and which all of those people represent – that there are infinitely more good people in the world than bad.



SHEEEEEEE!!!!IT. What all dis? Me? A dindu nuffin. A got ma rights. Yo where da white women at?

Because of the lies of Boas, Gould, et al. about human biodiversity, and due to naive white universalism, Wells spouts tragic paragraphs like the above, thinking black people are the same as her and that they see everyone as an equal.

Jane Kelly wrote about Wells' attack in the Spectator, pointing out that in the 1980s she had had a black try to strangle her before attempting rape and that she had been "saved by locals" (read: white people). She said she'd made the mistake, when writing an article about her attack, of mentioning the assailant's race and said that the left, at the time, had blamed her for her assault and accused her of "racist body language". Thus she said she understood why Wells wrote a letter which avoided any allusion to her attacker's background, and also pointed out that the BBC and none of the national papers had done this either. For all anyone knew, lone's rapist was another Ched Evans - one of the evil whites the press never tires of highlighting and warning about. Her campaign, which was hashtagged #NotGuilty, didn't really gain anywhere near the attention of any of the campaigns against Evans. No one criticised the Somali youth, or talked about "cultural" problems like they do with white men nor anything else.

One problem when trying to get through to white women who have been infected by feminism, as well as men (though they are fewer), is that they have been weaned on "privilege theory", by the likes of Jew

Noel Ignatiev, which is that it that those supposedly with more power should defer for people supposedly with less power. It is also why when a black person says “whites are evil”, someone calling him a racist doesn’t work for the brainwashed. Because racism is prejudice + power and therefore can only be done by whites. And merely mentioning Jews means you’re a Nazi, so that’s a non-starter.

A brainwashed white woman went to Haiti and was, predictably, raped by a black man and proceeded to blame his actions on “white patriarchy”.

“Rape” is obsessed about in feminism not for the sake of women, but entirely to demonise white men. Monstrous, violent stranger rapes, which are far more characteristic of some types of non-white men, are airbrushed out of the narrative by the media, their actors and victims inconvenient. Meanwhile “rape culture” is shouted about from the rooftops of every university, magazine, blog and newspaper as a huge threat to women, and women who have had drunk sex or regretful sex are encouraged to feel as much resentment as possible, with feminists convincing them that they are victims of a terrible crime that they must feel angry and upset about. If they cared about women at all, then the spotlight would be on keeping safe from violent stranger rapists. But it’s not about women. It’s about white people.

Fourth-Wave Feminism and the Outright Assault on White People

What we are seeing now is that feminism has moved from the Jew-controlled sphere of stopping white people from breeding with white people (although this is very much in place with the transgender lobby, which pumps white children with sterilising hormones) and is now controlled by a coalition of hostile anti-whites drunk on Jew-juice claiming that whites are evil and to blame for everything. That Jews have lost control is evident from the fact that Jew feminists are being criticised as if they were the evil whites (*these goys never heard of the Holocaust??!?!?*), as shown in the massive criticism of the likes of

Lena Dunham for not packing her TV show Girls with negroes. But the result is certainly no better, though.

“Privilege theory”—or, that the only reason there are more men in certain plum jobs than women is down to discrimination—has worked its wonders, so that white feminists are easily tricked into thinking that the reason they earn more than men “who could be brothers of Trayvon” is because of evil white racism, much as with white men it was supposedly down to sexism.

Rowan Blanchard, some sort of tween actress aged thirteen with “Middle Eastern and Northern European ancestry”, “spoke out” on Instagram about “white feminism” and talked about how white women are oppressing black women and trans “women”. A website typical of this sort of feminism, which is the prevalent sort to be found now among under-20s and the ever-growing non-white component within this age group, is Everyday Feminism.

11 Common Ways White Folks Avoid Taking Responsibility for Racism in the US

August 31, 2015 by [Robin DiAngelo](#)



If you ever want to say "not all white people," you might want to read this first. Does this cover any of your "rules" for talking about racism?

No, Affirmative Action Isn't Racist Against White People – And Here's Why

August 27, 2015 by [Marina Watanabe](#)



We've heard it many times before: "If affirmative action helps people of color, isn't it reverse racist?" Marina Watanabe's got the perfect explanation for why the answer to that question is a firm "no."

10 Ways Well-Meaning White Teachers Bring Racism Into Our Schools

August 26, 2015 by [Jamie Utt](#)



We love teachers – so this author's offering great tips for improving your teaching practice by paying more critical attention to race in our schools. If you've made any of these mistakes, here's what to do instead.

Here's What White Feminism Is – And Why We Really Need to Talk About It

August 25, 2015 by [Zeba Blay](#)



You might have come across the term "White Feminism" recently – like in discussions about Nicki Minaj and Taylor Swift's Twitter exchange. This short breakdown shows why we need to address this problem for a stronger feminist movement.

What If White People Had to Deal with Racist Microaggressions?

August 23, 2015 by [Francesca Ramsey](#)



"Can you say a curse word in European?" People of color experience racist microaggressions every day. Here's Francesca "Chescaleigh" Ramsey with a hilarious take on if the tables were turned.

How the Interruption Of Bernie Sanders Exposed White Progressives' White Supremacy

August 11, 2015 by [Jamie Utt](#)



Two black women took over the microphone at a Bernie Sanders rally on Saturday. What do you think of what happened? Here's why this is less about the individual candidates and more about hypocrisy among their white supporters.

Can You Be Black and Latinx? Here's What Afro-Latinx Means And Why It Matters

August 8, 2015 by [Project Bronx](#)

6 Reasons People Claim Waving the Confederate Flag Isn't Racist – Squashed

July 28, 2015 by [Creigh Ferino](#)



Some people believe that the Confederate flag is just a celebration of Southern pride. But here's why it is – and always will be – a symbol of racism.

30+ Resources to Help White Americans Learn About Race and Racism

July 26, 2015 by [Jon Greenberg](#)



If you've ever wondered how to learn more about race and racism, and get involved in the movement for racial justice, here's the guide you've been looking for.

8 Ways the Media Upholds White Privilege and Demonizes People of Color

July 22, 2015 by [Malisha Z. Johnson](#)



You've got to check out all the ways the media's lying to you – and what stories say about how they're influencing you and your community more than you think.

Anti-white? No such thing. We're just correcting "privilege", see?

One particularly heinous article says that white women in relationships with non-white men are "oppressing" them. While this is great in that the fewer white women who are consorting with non-white men the better, it all adds to the creeping narrative that whites are worthless whilst non-whites are blameless victims. What must warm the cockles of the hearts of girls gang-raped and threatened with death by married Pakistanis is paragraphs like this:

It's important to remember that as a white person being sexual with a person of color, you're in a position of power. The fact that you're intimate with one another doesn't erase that. And it can be difficult for a marginalized person to feel comfortable expressing their needs without a safe space being intentionally created by the person of privilege. I've written (okay, tweeted) before about how this plays out even in sexual encounters where only a power imbalance exists on the axis of gender. The issue is this: The power dynamics bestowed upon us by our fucked up, oppressive society don't disappear just because you're intimate with someone.

See, the real problem with the girls who were victims of Pakistani rape gangs in Telford, Rochdale, Rotherham, Oxford and everywhere else where Pakis are allowed to congregate near white women, is that our society is not a safe space in which they can express their needs. In fact, if these diverse and enriching gentlemen were to tell the truth about their much-deserved sexual needs, there are people in the disgusting and unbearable whiteness of our society who might question, with hate speech reminiscent of Hitler himself probably, these men's right to freely access white girls' vaginas against their will.


The website is also packed with the sort of Social Justice Warrior who makes me particularly angry—East Asian women. There is a ridiculous piece by one of these women about “6 Reasons We Need to Dismantle the Model Minority Myth of ‘Those Hard-Working Asians.’” The piece, of course, does nothing to dismantle anything but instead says that this stereotype is a “tool of white supremacy” and that Asians and blacks need to work together to defeat whites. I can't work out whether these Asian women are stupid or just evil to write these pieces, in terms of whether or not they realise that if “white supremacy” is earning more than another group and being arrested, yet then “Asian supremacy” is clearly more than a thing. But they think that because some weeaboos fancy them that this is “oppression.”

To bring this back to Taylor Swift, this website also has an article entitled “5 Ways Taylor Swift Exemplifies white Feminism – And Why That's a Problem.” The problem, of course, is that Taylor Swift is white and this fact makes her “problematic”. White women need to be led

away from feminism, and fast, because feminism is an anti-white movement that is destroying us from inside and out and they are not even trying to hide it anymore. And they should be reminded that, when whites are gone, so is the altruism so many white women are driven by and love so much.

Cohen's New World Order

October 2015



Leonard Cohen's 1992 song, and album, entitled "The Future" has long been a source of fascination and puzzlement. The Future, both song and album tracks, are most widely known for appearing on Oliver Stone's psychotic and psychedelic movie "Natural Born Killers". To be blunt about it, The Future seems to be "a Jew giving the game away", and on closer inspection this genuinely does seem to be the case. What Cohen is describing is a world where the war against nature has reached its conclusion, where all identity, sexuality, tradition and spirituality have been erased.

Cohen's background is hardly the background of a typical singer-song writer:

Cohen was born on 21 September 1934 in Westmount, Quebec, an English-speaking area of Montreal, into a middle-class Jewish family. His mother, Marsha (Masha) Klonitsky, was the daughter of a Talmudic writer, Rabbi Solomon Klonitsky-Kline, of Lithuanian Jewish ancestry. His paternal grandfather, whose family had emigrated from Poland, was Lyon Cohen, founding president of the Canadian Jewish Congress. His father, Nathan Cohen, who owned a substantial clothing store, died when Cohen was 9 years old. On the topic of being a Kohen, Cohen has said that "I had a very Messianic childhood." He told Richard Goldstein in 1967, "I was told I was a descendant of Aaron, the high priest."

It is widely rumored that Cohen converted to Buddhism. In actual fact, as Cohen explains:

Cohen has been involved with Buddhism since the 1970s and was ordained a Buddhist monk in 1996; however, he still considers himself Jewish: "I'm not looking for a new religion. I'm quite happy with the old one, with Judaism."

And:

Mr. Cohen keeps the Sabbath even while on tour and performed for Israeli troops during the 1973 Arab-Israeli war. So how does he square that faith with his continued practice of Zen? "Allen Ginsberg asked me the same question many years ago," he said. "Well, for one thing, in the tradition of Zen that I've practiced, there is no prayerful worship and there is no affirmation of a deity. So theologically there is no challenge to any Jewish belief."

And so, here we have a deeply Jewish song writer with, it has to be said, an impressive intellect, giving us his vision of "The Future" as seen from 1992. And from the perspective of 2015 it has to be said that he was bang on the money in every respect. Here are the lyrics with some thoughts of my own.

Give me back my broken heart
My mirrored room, my secret life
It's lonely here
There's no one left to torture

Give me absolute control
Over every living soul
And lie beside me, baby
That's an order

Give me crack, anal sex
Take the only tree that's left
Stuff it up the hole
In your culture

Cohen jumps right in by explaining the nature of human existence in his future. It is the atomized self-obsessed individual wallowing in instant gratification and seeing his or her fellow self-obsessed lost souls as nothing more than playthings to be controlled and sexually exploited. There is no love, tenderness, or empathy, just the self and the unbridled will of the individual.

Give me back the Berlin Wall
Give me Stalin and St. Paul
I've seen the future, brother
It is murder

In Cohen's Future history itself has ceased to matter because the lamentable state of humanity means there will no longer be any great ideological schisms, rifts, borders, or tensions. Both Stalin and St Paul, it could be claimed, turned on messianic Judaism, the one through transforming Christianity, the other by throttling Bolshevism. The Future to come is so horrific that Cohen is seemingly nostalgic for a time when humanity was still "alive".

Things are going to slide
Slide in all directions
Won't be nothing
Nothing you can measure anymore
The blizzard
The blizzard of the world
Has crossed the threshold
And it's overturned the order of the soul

This could be alluding to moral relativism, the trans-valuation of values into having no values. There is no right or wrong, no “normal” or bizarre, humanity is de-coupled from any grounding ethics and is swirling around as snowflakes in a relativist blizzard. This motif will be reinforced by humanity being unable to repent, or regret, anything because there is no longer any moral touchstone or spirituality.

When they said repent
Repent
I wonder what they meant

You don't know me from the wind
You never will, you never did
I'm the little Jew
Who wrote the bible

I've seen the nations rise and fall
I've heard their stories, heard them all
But love's the only engine
Of survival

Your servant here, he has been told
To say it clear, to say it cold
It's over
It ain't going any further

Here Cohen seems to be speaking as “The Eternal Jew”; the Jew invented your religion, your ethics, and morality in the first place, it was a sham. Furthermore, they have always been there and they have witnessed the rise and fall of civilizations, empires and races. But The Jew always remains and your, our, time is just about up! Love as redeemer and saviour, in a romantic and sexual sense, is a theme which runs throughout all of Cohen's music; it's hard to imagine it shielding us from, let alone defeating, “The Future”. A more nationalistic reading would be love of kind; certainly, this has obviously served the Jews well through history.

And now the wheels of heaven stop
You feel the devil's riding crop

Get ready for the future
It is murder

Things are going to slide
Slide in all directions
Won't be nothing
Nothing you can measure anymore

The blizzard
The blizzard of the world
Has crossed the threshold
And it's overturned the order of the soul

When they said repent
Repent
I wonder what they meant

There'll be breaking of the ancient Western code
Your private life will suddenly explode
There'll be phantoms, there'll be fires on the road
And a white man dancing
You'll see a woman hanging upside down
Her features covered by her fallen gown
And all the lousy little poets come around
Trying to sound like Charlie Manson
And the white man dancing

It's rather ambiguous what the "ancient Western code" is, perhaps Christianity, or perhaps simply freedom. At any rate, the white man seems to have been reduced to a nihilistic "dancing" imbecile as his woman is strung up. Charles Manson fits into the St Paul and Stalin category. Manson wrote a book encouraging race war and so was a genuine rebel, a person who wanted to buck the great project of Tikkun Olan. It's hard not to envision moronic leftists and nihilists as "lousy little poets" dancing and celebrating at the end of their civilization and race. It is in this sense Manson was a genuine rebel; they are tools and "useful idiots". There's a perfect example of this in the comments to the song on YouTube:



Stuart McDonald 1 year ago

I love lots of Leonard Cohen's music. But his 1992 "The Future" song betrays a right-wing, knee-jerk reactionary crackpot who claims that morality is upside down because the world has abandoned "traditional", "Western Code", Judeo-Christian values and replaced it with subjective and relative pseudo-values. He's dead wrong about this. These "traditional values" have always been immoral and evil. You don't have to read very far between the lines to see that he is misogynist and anti-LGBT. When people get old, many tend to devolve back to their rigid, original religious brainwashing. I don't know if he's always been a secret devotee of immoral "traditional values" or whether this is just the mental brittleness and rigidity of old age taking over.

Show less



This guy is a lousy little poet

Give me back the Berlin Wall

Give me Stalin and St. Paul

Give me Christ or give me Hiroshima

Destroy another foetus now

We don't like children anyhow

I've seen the future, baby

It is murder

Christ and Hiroshima are now included as harking back to an age of spiritual vigour; both were sacrifices and the contrast to the obvious allusion to abortion is stark. The sacrifice of Christ or the bombing of Hiroshima were epochal events; in the future nothing is epic, we flush our children down the toilet so we can carry on "dancing" and snorting coke and call it "freedom".

Things are going to slide

Slide in all directions

Won't be nothing

Nothing you can measure anymore

The blizzard

The blizzard of the world

Has crossed the threshold

And it's overturned the order of the soul

When they said repent

Repent

I wonder what they meant



Cohen certainly doesn't seem to be gloating. In a 1992 interview Cohen expressed his ideas further:

I think that's part of it. I think that Yeats' line, "the centre will not hold," could very well have been the sub-title of the song. I say, you know, "things are going to slide in all directions, nothing will be measured anymore. The blizzard of the world has crossed the threshold, overturned the order of the soul. When they said 'repent,' I wonder what they meant." We're not even able to hold, a concept now of resurrection mechanisms; we don't even know what the concept is about, now. We can't even locate one in our mental equipment. And, I do feel that the centrality has dissolved. You know, we used to talk about the broken family. We all have experienced the broken family, now, us! You know, the people we're talking about, the sociologists, the acamedicians, the poets, the mental workers ... none of these things we are talking about, from an observational point of view, have stayed as objects of our conversation.

They have become the environment that we ourselves are inhabiting. So we are living a world, in a daily life, of such ambiguity, ambiguity about ourselves, about our wives, our husbands, our loves, our families, our loyalties, our work. The ambiguities have become intolerable. We are no longer outside the problem. There no longer is a distance. There is no hill to see this from, you share one body, now, with the serpent you forbid and with the dove that you allow. We're in

it. And, “The Future” comes out of that experience. There is no perspective on the future anymore. It is like, look it!, you’ll settle for the Berlin Wall. You’ll settle for totalitarianism. You’ll settle for the FBI. You’ll settle for the ozone layer with the hole in it. You’ll settle for the wrecked Amazonian forest. All these things will look good, next to what’s coming down.

But given his background and what we know about the involvement of his people in creating this apocalypse, it’s hard not see his song as anything but an admission. And The Future isn’t the only time Cohen has provided us with an insight into his people’s vision for humanity. Commenting on his song “First We Take Manhattan (Then We Take Berlin)”, which is already a fascinating title, Cohen remarked:

“I think it means exactly what it says. It is a terrorist song. I think it’s a response to terrorism. There’s something about terrorism that I’ve always admired. The fact that there are no alibis or no compromises. That position is always very attractive. I don’t like it when it’s manifested on the physical plane – I don’t really enjoy the terrorist activities – but Psychic Terrorism. I remember there was a great poem by Irving Layton that I once read, I’ll give you a paraphrase of it. It was ‘well, you guys blow up an occasional airline and kill a few children here and there’, he says. ‘But our terrorists, Jesus, Freud, Marx, Einstein. The whole world is still quaking...’”

Indeed, Leonard, your terrorists do have the whole world quaking, thank you for reminding us.

Dances With Wolves: Nationalist Masterpiece?

August 2015



There's a particularly powerful scene in Kevin Costner's epic white-guilt extravaganza. Called into the Indian chief's tent, Dunbar (Costner) is asked by the chief "how many more [Europeans] will come?" to which Dunbar replies, "like the stars". As the African invasion of Europe is now gaining full momentum, one can picture a

young boy with his father looking south across the English channel or Mediterranean: “How many more will come, dad?”



Europeans are expected to live by a moral code which casts them as villains for having dispossessed a native people from their land through migration—but then when we are in the role of natives wishing to defend our land, we are then cast as the villains once again by being slurred as “racists” and “haters” and “xenophobes”.

In *Dances with Wolves*, the message is loud and clear: a people losing their land to foreign invaders is a tragedy of epic proportions. Because the film deals with a non-European people they are allowed to be openly “racist” and ethno-centric. The Europeans in the movie are all, to a man, depicted as greasy lechers with rotten teeth and psychotic leanings. The Indians are noble and philosophical.

Dunbar’s motivation for going out into the wilderness to seek out the Indians is, he informs us, “to see it before it’s gone”. Imagine a movie being made today about a Pakistani regretting his own people’s incursion into Britain and deciding to go and live in a small Highlands village before the rest of his kind destroyed the Highland people.

Dunbar’s guide across the vast prairies is a fat farting slob who Dunbar describes as vile, on his return journey the slob is then shot eight times with Indian arrows and then mocked as he is scalped.



This is, obviously, a severe hate crime but the audience are expected to cheer.

Soon afterwards we are introduced to the Indians who will become Dunbar's friends. At first they are deeply suspicious. The medicine man "Kicking Bird" quips, "it's true the whites are a poor race and hard to understand, but make no mistake, the whites are coming! So when I see one man without fear in our country I see bad medicine". Some of the Indians want to simply kill Dunbar but other "liberal" Indians begin to accept him but only because they recognize that Dunbar is hostile toward his own people and sympathetic toward the Indians.



After whites needlessly slaughter thousands of buffalo Dunbar laments, “who would do such a thing? A people without value or soul, with no regard for Sioux rights”. Reaching peak white guilt, Dunbar explains, “There were no looks, no blame, just the confusion of a people unable to predict the future”. We can sympathize with that!

Dunbar’s love interest in *Dances with Wolves* is “Stands With a Fist” (Mary McDonnell). On first glance we are led to believe that she’s just another Indian woman, but as her back story is revealed we learn she’s white and that her family were slaughtered by another Indian tribe. So when she and Dunbar fall in love it doesn’t involve mixing it up with the Indians. As Kicking Bird’s wife explains, “it makes sense, they’re both white”. That is to say, the Indians define themselves on blood and kinship, not cultural or linguistic lines. Dunbar and Stands With a Fist may speak the language and live with the Indians but they are not and can never be Indians. The Indians are not civic nationalists, they’re ethno-nationalists. Of course, if the Indian chieftains wanted to destroy their own people they could merely call all of the whites Indians, or Sioux, or Pawnee or Crow—but this would be absurd, just as absurd as calling Nigerians Swedish or Irish.

Eventually the U.S. Cavalry arrives and arrests Dunbar; they are “searching for hostile Indians” and Dunbar replies that “there are no hostiles”. This is obviously not true because the family of Dunbar’s wife was murdered, as was his guide. The Cavalry accuse Dunbar of being a race traitor and a turncoat, an accusation which is quickly proven to be accurate, as the “non-hostiles” massacre the U.S. Cavalry to set Dunbar free.



Costner deploys a clever narrative trick in his movie. *Dances with Wolves* is set to a backdrop of changing seasons. When Dunbar sets out it is spring, when he finds happiness with the Sioux it is summer, and by the time the tribe realize that they are ultimately doomed it is winter. The Sioux, in a desperate act of Red Flight, move north into the mountains, but we all know it's a futile attempt to escape the inevitable. It's a deeply poignant ending. All across the Western World, Europeans are facing the same problem as that faced by the Sioux in Costner's epic. Norwegians are fleeing Oslo and heading for the remote Fjords, English are fleeing the hell of the multi-racial dystopia of their inner cities, and the French have long since fled the "banlieues".

If only we could adopt the fighting spirit of the Sioux, and less self-loathing as displayed by Costner/Dunbar. After all, Winter is Coming.

The Maleficent Matriarchy

December 2015



Maleficent is what studio bosses in Hollywood like to call a “re-imagining “of a classic tale”. What they mean by re-imagining is taking a story or myth embedded in popular culture and then injecting it with postmodern, Cultural Marxist, post-feminist, post-white guilt ideas, then trotting it back out for the masses. Maleficent is a re-imagining of Sleeping Beauty.

In Sleeping Beauty, Maleficent was the evil vindictive witch who curses a young princess. At 16 years old the princess would prick her finger on a spinning wheel and fall into a coma, the good faeries managing to add the caveat that princess Aurora would be saved if

she found true love, which she did, in the guise of Philip, the “prince charming” of the tale. Philip then battles Maleficent, who has turned herself into a gigantic dragon, killing her and saving the princess.

Disney’s more recent take on the classic fairy tale was directed by Robert Stromberg and produced by Joe Roth (yes, don’t even bother looking it up). The script was written by Linda Wolverton, a feminist who has also penned *Beauty and the Beast* and is now working on *Alice Through the Looking Glass*. According to Linda:

I came up as a feminist, in my day. And when I was first approached to do *Beauty and the Beast*, I knew that you couldn’t do a throwback Disney victim/heroine. We weren’t going to buy it as women after a whole awakening in the 70s. No one is going to accept that. So that started me on a path at relooking at these Disney princesses in a sort of different way. I feel that you have to have an empowering message or you’re not going to be relevant. If you don’t stay relevant to how people are and how women are approaching life now, it’s not going to feel true.



“Relevant”, modern day code for “Jewish”

When we enter the new movie, *Maleficent* (Angelina Jolie) is a happy young woman living in her idyllic magical forest surrounded by cute

and adorable pixies, elves, and faeries. Maleficent has huge wings and she gracefully swoops through clouds and skims over lakes and rivers. A local boy stumbles into Maleficent's realm and the two become friends, and then later fall in love. The young man then goes off to fight wars leaving Maleficent alone.

Meanwhile, in the entirely white and male kingdom nearby, the king decides to wage war upon Maleficent's realm for no reason whatsoever. Using her magical powers and the various monsters of her woodland, Maleficent manages to beat back the invading men, mortally wounding the king. On his deathbed the king declares that the man who kills Maleficent shall be crowned king.


And lo, Maleficent's beloved appears back in the woodland and apologizes for his long absence. Maleficent forgives him and the two embrace once again. The young man then drugs Maleficent to put her into a deep sleep, lacking the courage to stick a dagger in her back. He then cuts off Maleficent's wings and runs off back to the king to tell the lie that he has killed her. This, then, is the justification and motivation for Maleficent turning malevolent. The message being sent out to millions of young girls is a double whammy: men are at war with you, let your guard down and men will betray you and destroy you. Furthermore, Maleficent's wings, her ability to fly, is her freedom, so she's lost that too. A cowardly wretch of a man has taken everything. The scene in which Maleficent wakes up and understands what has happened is particularly brutal for a "children's movie".

And so a full third of the movie has passed and we have established Maleficent's motivation—she's been physically and psychologically destroyed by men. And this has been written by a feminist who claims to want to establish "strong female characters" not dependent on men. The irony here is that pre-feminist 1959 Maleficent showed no interest in men at all, she was simply angered at not being invited to Aurora's christening. She was a powerful witch acting freely. Surely that's a more powerful female figure than a traumatized nutcase with a grudge. It's almost as if the role of modern feminism isn't to embolden women at all, but rather to drip fear and dread of men into the psyche of the young girls who will constitute Maleficent's core audience.

So Maleficent turns “dark”, and in order to keep herself safe from intruding men, she erects a gigantic thorny bush around her magical wonderland. She indulges herself a little by turning a crow into a man; we are allowed to like this man because he’s her slave and Maleficent frequently threatens to turn him into worms and crabs and generally humiliates him.

Upon hearing that her wing-chopping scumbag ex is now king and married with a baby she storms the land of the patriarchal warlords and curses the baby, Aurora. Aurora is then whisked off to safety by the three female faeries, rather pointlessly because Maleficent is sitting outside the new female idyll in the very next scene. From a feminist perspective there’s a narrative problem with stealing and cursing baby Aurora as, yes, we all hate the traitorous king, but what about his wife? Is she not heartbroken at having her child stolen and cursed? We never get to find out because she is conveniently killed off and the evil King is so lost in his hatred he doesn’t even blink at the news.

And so what should have been a dramatic turn of events is tossed aside lest sympathy for Maleficent is compromised and the feminist message lost. The nature of the curse placed on Aurora is that on her 16th birthday she will prick her finger and then fall into a coma-like sleep, and she can only be awoken by “true love’s kiss”. Maleficent explains she did this because true love doesn’t exist, and so therefore Aurora is doomed. This is all dependent on the arrival of Prince Charming, of course, and when he does finally appear one can be forgiven for losing all hope for Aurora...



This is the pre-cultural revolution Prince Charming



This is the feminist-approved Prince Charming

Maleficent grows very fond of Aurora, her icy heart finally beginning to melt. When Aurora actually does succumb to the curse, Maleficent is distraught and plans to smuggle Prince Charming into the castle to give the saving kiss. Presumably, because he's a man, (just) Prince Charming is incapable of reviving Aurora with a kiss. Maleficent

breaks down with regret and pours her heart out to the comatose Aurora, then...

...yes, in the new Cultural Marxist reboot of Sleeping Beauty it's the evil witch, who is not in fact evil but merely a victim of the evil patriarchy, who saves the Princess.

Just in case you missed the feminist message so far, in the next scene Maleficent is surrounded by 30 heavily-armed soldiers and trapped in an iron net which burns her; she is then repeatedly kicked, bashed, and slashed by the men. She manages to turn her man-slave into a dragon, buying her some time until Aurora finds and releases Maleficent's long lost wings. Finally whole once more, Maleficent and her man-slave dragon vanquish the men and save the day.

You really do have to wonder what the effects of this are on the mind of a 12 year old girl. Sitting in the cinema you would probably be able to actually hear their mental circuitry rewiring itself to change these girls into Laurie Penny style social justice warrior lunatics.

Easy Jet Breaks The Cycle Of Life

October 2015



I recently happened to catch an Easy Jet commercial. The budget airline is celebrating 20 years of no-frills travel and dubiously exploiting aviation legal loopholes to provide the masses with cheap flights and holidays. The advert deploys a clever metaphorical trick by using a Ferris wheel to depict the wheels of time turning.

A handsome young white man and woman jump aboard, awkward, hesitant, they fall in love, the years pass. We witness their hairstyles and clothes change as they evolve from teenage dorks into their 20's. The woman shows a bump, she's pregnant, and soon our happy couple are joined by a lovely little white daughter. Mum and dad go through life's changes, stressed, responsible, doting, dad appears with a neck injury, they've celebrated their first holiday. Our lovely little girl likewise grows, and the wheel of life goes round and round, as the years pass by. Sitting in between mum and dad our cherub progresses from baby to infant to pouting teenager.

It is the circle of life.

For countless generations this cycle of love and birth and death has repeated itself, the European genome is passed on down through the

eons by white men and women, from the times which forged us, scratching out a living on tundra and ice fields, to masters of technology and civilization. Onward through time and entropy, the cycle repeats. Eventually the time has come for our little girl in the Easy Jet advert to leave the comfort of the Ferris wheel seat between mum and dad and plant her family. The wheel turns yet again and this time our little angel is gone, and an older mum and dad sit alone once again. But wait, another turn of the Ferris wheel, she's back, and sitting alone. Now comes the time for her to find her soul mate and life partner.

And what could be better than a racially alien African buck preparing to obliterate everything the previous thousand generations of Europeans had striven for by producing freakish abominations such as this?



It's the offhanded manner these adverts endorse our dissolution which hurts the most.

Big Brother's Rugby World Cup Propaganda

September 2015



The Rugby World Cup introduction video takes us back to where it all began, Warwickshire 1823, the camera swoops past the astounding architecture of Warwickshire and we are greeted with an explosion of a bucolic England as a choral rendition of “I vow to thee, my country” plucks at the patriotic heartstrings of every Englishman. Of course, by Englishmen we are, presumably, expected to include the Africans who have been strategically placed in the video as English aristocrats, in 1823, ten years before slavery was abolished (!).

Unlike football, which has historically been the sport of choice (and convenience) of the working class, rugby emanates from the historical middle- to upper-class tier of British society. From a Cultural Marxist perspective it makes sense to have poor blacks and whites mixing it up, regardless of historical accuracy, because both are oppressed by the upper tier of society; ethnic and racial differences are merely a “false consciousness” which must be overcome and/or destroyed.

However, a sport such as rugby is the sport of those elites and the question then becomes one of how to “modernize” it, or how to sell it to the working-class masses as something not “elitist”. The producers hired to solve this problem have certainly taken the ball and run with it,

so to speak. Their solution is to turn the traditional hierarchy on its head. Prince Harry, the epitome of the modern aristocrat and “white establishment”, appears as a gardener, while blacks appear as belonging to the 1823 ruling classes of Britain.



Famed For Its Multi-ethnic Diversity in 1823?

Cultural Marxism is often misunderstood as having, or wishing to create, something new. It isn't. It is a wrecking ball with no further purpose than to destroy. This is why its internal logic is so often paradoxical and, generally, an incoherent mess. One example of this is the way the modern left claim to stand simultaneously for Islam and

gay rights. It doesn't make sense. It isn't supposed to. What matters is that both gays and Muslims are groups lining up to attack the traditional society Europeans have created.

Placing blacks as aristocrats, or coming soon, characters in Beowulf, opens up yet another paradox in new leftist thought. If blacks are a historically oppressed and abused group at the hands of racist Europeans then by the left's own logic it is absurd to cast them as being a historically integral part of European civilization, as aristocrats and Viking warriors etc. If we are now supposed to believe that blacks made up the classes of the British ruling elites are they, then, culpable and guilty for slavery too? What do today's blacks think of this?



Viking Warrior?

Obviously, you aren't supposed to nitpick like this, precisely because Cultural Marxist propaganda cannot stand up to even mild scrutiny. The purpose is to steadily drip the lie into the European psyche that European identity is multiracial and always has been. And if this means having to cast today's victim groups in the role of yesterday's oppressor, contradicting every core leftist value, then so be it.

“Who controls the past controls the future; who controls the present controls the past.”

– Ingsoc

Part II

The Faux Right

Classical Liberals, Libertarians, Cuckservatives, et al.

The Limitations Of Sargon Of Akkad's Liberalism

December 2015



1x.com

© Andiyan Lutfi
<http://1x.com/photo/37711/>

When Individualism Fails

I've never been particularly interested in popular YouTuber "Sargon of Akkad". I don't watch his videos. It's not that I'm particularly hostile, I just find endlessly banging on about feminists and "social justice warriors", the lowest hanging fruit on the Cultural Marxist tree, rather dull. I also find Sargon to be smug and irritating.

That said, the recent tumult surrounding his "hangout" on Google+ with Millennial Woes and other "alt-righters" was highly entertaining.


More interesting still was Sargon's reaction video in which he went into more detail explaining his own world view and why he disagrees with the alt-right. Many of the issues raised, such as The Nazi Question, have been so hotly debated within the alt-right they need not be addressed here. It's also worth noting that Sargon rejects the idea that white people face an existential crisis.

The focus here, though, is the assumption that a classical liberal position is objectively superior to a white nationalist one in terms of ethics and morality. In other words, this isn't just another "hit piece" on a popular internet figure, it is analyzing a clash of two belief systems.

The Enlightened Middle Ground

Sargon sees himself sitting in the "sane centre" of the culture war: to his left he has the mental social justice warriors and to his right the crazed Nazis, and he implies that they are, in essence, a reflection of each other in that they both believe in collectivism. The moderate centre rejects collectivism and prejudice and judges a person on their merit, rather than race or gender or religion etc. And it does this because individualism, and judging the individual, is the highest achievement of Western Civilization. The Enlightened Middle Ground sees racialist thinking as crude and uncouth, if not downright evil/Nazi. Thus, the alt-right and cultural Marxism are threatening Western Civilization with their collectivist ideas, albeit from opposing extremes of the political spectrum.

Sargon explains to us that his own views have been largely formed by Maslow's "Hierarchy of Needs":



Sargon, and presumably the bulk of Western Civilization, see themselves as inhabiting the upper tier of the pyramid; they have overcome prejudice and tribalism. Humanity is a collection of individuals, and if not all have attained that level of “self-actualization”, then it is still the road we must all embark upon toward enlightenment because it is the highest ideal of “the West”. (It’s interesting to note here that social justice warriors would also see themselves as operating within that upper strata, but we shall return to that later.)

White nationalism, or the alt-right, sits rather far down on this scale—we believe that we are facing an existential crisis and thus we are inhabiting the rather lowly zone of “safety” on the hierarchy of needs.

Teamwork vs Individualism

The social justice warriors will certainly see themselves as occupying the upper echelon of Maslow’s pyramid, but at the same time they can justify non-white groups, or “minorities”, still gathering at the “safety”

zone because of the very fact of their minority status. But this presupposes a society wherein whites are racially conscious and also acting at the safety level when in fact, just like Sargon, they are at the top and so no longer think in collectivist terms but individualistic ones.

So what we see emerging is a paradigm wherein whites are atomized individuals and non-whites team players agitating for more group security, not individualism. The reaction of liberals such as Sargon to this reality can only be, and only is “well, I don’t agree with that” or “they should be eschewing collectivist ideas”, while remaining totally impotent to the reality of the situation, to the reality that there is more to be gained by ethnic solidarity, and that ethnic solidarity is the human norm rather than the unfettered individual “free of prejudice”.

The liberal mind is pretty much tied in a paradoxical knot here. The unfettered individual free of prejudice can only exist in an ethnically homogeneous society where *all* of the individuals have similarly disregarded collectivist thinking—as, after all, it wouldn’t really be needed. However, it is precisely because of that lack of prejudice that we are unable to stop collectivist racial aliens entering our own living space. Liberalism is a snake eating its own tail.



The “individual free from prejudice” is the girl who was raped and tortured

In England we are seeing an epidemic of racially motivated gang rapes and “groomings” committed by non-whites upon English girls. One of the primary reasons for this lies in the fact that English girls have been brainwashed by the wider society into not judging people based upon racial and ethnic markers while the non-white men have retained their sense of prejudice, their understanding of an “Us and Them”. The English girls can be horrifically brutalized and dehumanized because they represent “The Other” and, having had their natural tendency toward “racism” dismantled, the girls are no longer capable of understanding they are at risk from Asian men.

Of course, the left and the politically correct local governments have played a part in these scandals, but after the fact. You would need a police state to stop Asian men exploiting English girls who have had their natural racist instincts removed. This nightmare is where the lofty ideals of non-judgmental, non-prejudiced liberalism lead. Again, liberals such as Sargon have no clue as to how they must deal with this, other than platitudes of “this is terrible” or “this is why people

should not think in racial terms". But that doesn't change the reality of how the world is, or the essentially tribal nature of humanity. If these Asians are all on the road to "self-actualization", then how many white girls have to be raped and tortured before they get there? The answer is not forthcoming because the ideal is unattainable and therefore the rapes and tortures never end.

Sargon first garnered notoriety with the alt-right when he claimed that the rape gangs were not a Muslim problem but instead a British problem. In fact Rotherham and similar instances of Muslim grooming and gang rape were not simply cases of young white girls having no moral values and choosing to have sex with scores of middle-aged Pakistani men, but much more serious affairs that have no place in our society.

In just Oxford, girls as young as 11 were subjected to the following:

- A forced back-street abortion
- Girls covered in burns from stubbed out cigarettes on their bodies
- Girls urinated on
- Torture with knives, meat cleavers, and baseball bats
- Threatened with a gun
- Girls subjected to biting and suffocating

The Jay report clearly spells out that the Pakistanis very much act as a cohesive group, prioritising group interests. The majority of the Pakistani community young and old, male and female, were aware of what was happening and that Pakistani councilors, taxi drivers, social workers, and police officers actively colluded in the rape and exploitation of white girls. This pattern is repeated all over the country in towns and cities with large Muslim populations, and they take advantage of white people's lack of collectivism and the fact our group interests are delegitimised.

Some sections of the report:

Mick Gradwell, a former detective superintendent, said that the targeted abuse of young white girls had been known about for decades, but police feared being labelled “institutionally racist”. “How many young girls have been abused and raped because of the reluctance of the authorities to say exactly what is happening?”, he asked. Mr Gradwell said that he had encountered abuse of white girls by “Asian” men as far back as the 1970s: “When I joined in 1979 one of my first tasks was to police around a blackburn nightclub where one of the issues was Asian men cruising around in BMWs and Mercs trying to pick up young drunken girls. The main pressure police have is being called institutionally racist if they highlight a crime trend like this. There’s a fantastic reluctance to be absolutely straight because some people may take such offence”.

In a particularly warped twist, the pimp will teach his victim that her parents are racist towards Asians, which is why they disapprove of their relationship – absolutely nothing, of course, to do with him being a violent, controlling thug. Gang members have grown wise to the wimpy ways of Western society. They exploit the fact that police, newly trained in “cultural sensitivity”, are terrified of being accused of racism. So the pimps operate with impunity until, years later, the slave girls find the courage to testify in court against their masters.

By attacking people who recognise that white people have group interests as “Nazis”, Sargon is part of the problem, as it is precisely due to whites’ individualism and society’s stigmatising collective group interests that this is allowed to happen. White people are the only ones to have had 70 years of anti-racist training while the rest of the world knows full well that different groups of people are competing for resources and that working as a group is thus vital.

Biologists write that different subspecies cannot live together in the same area as they are in competition for the same resources. Regardless of liberals and their high-minded values, humans are not separate from nature’s laws. Other groups come to the UK and quickly learn that the rules of the game are already in their favour—white ethno-centrism is “racism” and this is a crime, whilst non-whites working in their own group interests is praised and even given funding! “Anti-racism” essentially makes non-whites untouchable and white people perpetually vulnerable to having their entire livelihoods ruined at the say-so of a non-white.

In fact, in the aftermath of the MacPherson report, non-whites are actively encouraged to destroy white people in this way:

- The definition of a “racist incident” will now include incidents categorised in policing terms both as crimes and non-crimes. It will now encompass “any incident which is perceived to be racist by the victim or any other person”. A new Code of Practice will record all such crimes.
- The public will be encouraged to report racist incidents by making it possible to report them 24 hours a day, and not only at police stations.

What Sargon seems incapable of seeing is that white people cannot possibly win with this system being the status quo. White football fans in Paris who said racist things while barring a black man entry from their train carriage made international news for several weeks, whilst the following incident went unremarked upon by the BBC, Sky News, or any international outlets which heavily pushed the narrative of evil white racist football fans:

'We are watching you white b****': Mother targeted by threatening notes and racist graffiti after moving into new flat in block she was told is for 'black sisters and brothers'

- Sammie Sunter had taken her two sons to see their new home on Monday
- They arrived to find door covered in anti-vandal paint, faeces and graffiti
- Threatening and racist note posted saying 'Willenhall is black so f*** off'
- Police are investigating and say it is racially aggravated criminal damage
- Housing association say incident has happened before and will rehome her

By GEMMA MULLIN FOR MAILONLINE

PUBLISHED: 11:49, 20 February 2015 | UPDATED: 14:22, 20 February 2015



Share



4.6k
shares

697
View comments

A young mother moved into her new home to find it daubed in racist graffiti and a threatening note put through to door saying the flat block was for 'black sisters and brothers'.

Sammie Sunter, 24, was taking her sons, aged two and five, to see their new home in Willenhall, Coventry, for the first time on Monday when they found the front door smeared in anti-vandal paint.

It was also covered in vile graffiti and faeces, while a racist note stating 'Willenhall is black so f*** off' was posted through the letterbox.

Scroll down for video



Sammie Sunter, 24, with her sons Oscar, 5, (left) and Harvey, 2, (right) inside their flat, which was targeted by threatening notes and racist graffiti when they arrived to move in on Monday

The solution is to have racial segregation. This means also being separate from Pakistanis who've never raped and groomed an English girl, just to be safe. But according to Sargon, this goes against the grain of Western Civilization and is morally wrong. The abstract utopianism is just as prevalent in this thinking as it is in the mad ramblings of the SJW dummies Sargon enjoys mocking.



Collectivism isn't hurting these guys.

America

Racially aware and tribal Pakistani rape gangs might exist at the bottom of society, dominating the areas and white girls where they live. But America is one of the most individualistic and, at least in theory, classically liberal countries on earth. Surely here we can see the society being dominated by hyper-moral, self-actualized individuals working for the common good of mankind as a whole or as a collection of individuals.

Well, no.

In actual fact the entire upper strata and the bulk of the American media, political, and financial sectors are firmly in the hands of one hyper-ethnocentric group, despite that group making up just 1.7 to 2.6% of the population. Here, once again, a liberal such as Sargon is intellectually crippled, because even to admit to noticing this trend would require him to judge a person, or people, based on their ethnic or racial background.

And besides, because this group in particular is highly adept at demonizing and marginalizing anyone who points out their ethnocentrism and disproportionate power, the raceless, individualistic liberal is utterly helpless. Of course, the enlightened liberal individual can always reply that they simply do not care, but that isn't good enough. Just how "free" can an individual's intellect be if it is informed by a collectivist group via domination of media and law?

As an example of just that we shall take a closer look at Sargon's intellectual mentor.

Returning To Maslow

So just who was Abraham Maslow, father of Sargon's worldview?



Wikipedia:

Born and raised in Brooklyn, New York, Maslow was the oldest of seven children and was classed as “mentally unstable” by a psychologist. His parents were first generation Jewish immigrants from Russia who fled from Czarist persecution in the early 20th century. They had decided to live in New York City and in a multiethnic, working-class neighborhood. His parents were poor and not intellectually oriented, but they valued education. It was a tough time for Maslow, as he experienced anti-Semitism from his teachers and from other children around the neighborhood. He had various encounters with anti-Semitic gangs who would

chase and throw rocks at him. Maslow and other young people at the time with his background were struggling to overcome such acts of racism and ethnic prejudice in the attempt to establish an idealistic world based on widespread education and monetary justice.

And which sort of people inspired Maslow to hold that a raceless and individualistic world was the epitome of sophistication and actualized humanity?

These studies began with his two mentors, anthropologist Ruth Benedict and Gestalt psychologist Max Wertheimer, whom he admired both professionally and personally. These two were so accomplished in both realms, and such “wonderful human beings” as well, that Maslow began taking notes about them and their behavior.

Ruth Benedict was the student and lover of the infamous Jewish Marxist and conman Franz Boas. Boasian anthropology is long-since debunked gibberish on racial differences. and is largely responsible for the academic race denial which hamstrings European thinking on the subject. It is, obviously, still perpetuated by Marxists and one-worldists —and, it would seem, classical liberals.

Max Wertheimer? Well:

The Wertheimers were active in the Jewish community in which they lived. The Wertheimer household was extremely intellectual, therefore Max received education from both his parents; he engaged in political and educational discussions at home.

And further...

Max Wertheimer began his academic career at an institute in Frankfurt, later to become the University of Frankfurt. Max left Frankfurt from 1916 to 1929 to pursue a job at the Berlin Psychological Institute but returned to Frankfurt in 1929 as a full professor.

Yes, *that* Frankfurt School, the wellspring of what today we call “political correctness”, Cultural Marxism, or social-justice fetishism. Needless to say, the Frankfurt School was almost an entirely Jewish affair. This, then, is the intellectual milieu which informs Sargon of

Akkad's thinking—as he, with no hint of irony, compares the alt-right to social justice warriors (!).

But there's a larger point to be made here. Would it serve the interests of Pakistani child rapists to foster a sense of ethnic solidarity within the mindset of white English girls, thereby marking themselves out as "the Other"? Obviously not, because then the raping and grooming would become far more difficult as the girls distanced themselves.

Pakistanis do not have the intellect to do that, but Jews have been doing something similar for a very long time, hence their massive overrepresentation within all fields of Marxism and deconstructionist thinking within the West. So deep have these ideas penetrated Western thinking that somebody such as Sargon of Akkad can wheel them out as being the highest ideals of the West.

And this is the crux of the whole article: the myth of liberal individualism, free of ethnic thinking and prejudice, is itself the creation of the most ethno-centric people on earth, because when individualism rather than teamwork is practiced by "the Other", then their individuals are incapable of recognizing, let alone countering, the opposing racial team.

As I noted in an earlier article:

Individualism foreshadows mass-collectivism and the herd of ersatz 'individuals'. With authorities and societies broken down, nothing stands in the way of pressing individual units of alienated humanity, hitherto existing as persons, into a mass, each homogenised unit shaped to fit and imprinted with a set of political ideas and economic desires.

And one of those political ideas is that of not recognizing that you have ethnic interests, because that might worry the ethnic collective who create the political ideas which serve them best.

The supreme arrogance of the liberal is to relish sitting atop an intellectual pyramid, claiming to have overcome base instincts and tribalism, racism, and prejudice, while not knowing that the pyramid itself is a means to geld them and remove them from the game of

ethnic interests which continues apace whether they are aware of it or not, or whether they want to play the game or not.

Are You A British Cuckservative?

August 2015



It's been fun watching our friends and allies over in America skewer the pansy right over their "cuckservatism" and it's been even more fun watching the cuckservatives respond like the neutered dogs they are, using every weapon and psychological trick their leftist betters used on them to reduce them to whimpering sycophants. Obviously, we here in England also suffer from people who cleave to the rotting husk of what used to be conservatism but is now just a more big business friendly version of the New left. The problem is many don't actually understand they are "cucks" so here's some pointers in how British cuckservatives think:

If you...think the European Union is a way for Germany to install the Fourth Reich, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...think third-world immigrants would stop the mass rapes of white girls if they just renounced Islam, then you are a cuckservative.



If you...watch Pat Condell on YouTube and think he's the only guy brave enough to give you the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, then you are a cuckservative. When confronted with a Tweet that said, "So Condell is a #cuckservative who supports wiping out white families + nations in violation of genocide laws? #whiteGenocide", Condell replied: "white genocide? Do me a favour, will you? Take those precious white bollocks of yours and shove them back in your mouth."


If you... say "it isn't about race, it's about space" when discussing immigration, then you are cuckservative.

If you...think that Jews are running all of the terrestrial TV stations and the bulk of the newspapers because they work harder and study more than everybody else, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...become outraged over Muslim attacks on free speech while not minding Holocaust denial laws on the continent, or the activities of the European Jewish Congress in shutting down whatever they don't like, you are a cuckservative.

If you...thought Michael Gove's education reforms were more important than the fact that 25% of the children in education are non-white, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...think importing Poles is worthwhile because the white working class are lazy benefit scrounging scum, then you are cuckservative.



If you...think Israel is a beacon of freedom and democracy defending western values against Islam, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...comment regularly at Breitbart London and have not been banned, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...think saying "I don't give a crap what their race or religion is as long as they respect our culture and British values" makes you sound like a tough right winger, then you are a cuckservative.

If you ...think white people becoming a minority in their own country, against their will, is morally acceptable and mass deportations are not, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...think you're being really clever by calling the left fascists on the premise that both are evil and had big Governments, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...think you can checkmate the left by supporting homos and Jews against Islam, you are a cuckservative.

If you...sing the praises of Jews, Sikhs and Hindus as model immigrants to prove you aren't racist and just hate Islam, then you are a cuckservative.



If you...support the Trident nuke program because you believe "Putin is the next Hitler" and likely to sail the Russian Navy across the North Sea and invade us, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...believe there is an economic argument for immigration, then you are a cuckservative.

If you... believe that the lack of an economic argument for mass immigration is the real issue and not the racial and ethnic component, then you are a cuckservative.

If you...think the burka should be banned so we can more easily "integrate" with the Third World, then you are a cuckservative.



If you...believe that Jews have a right to a safe and secure homeland because they are the world's most oppressed people but whites do not because "we are a mongrel race", then you are a cuckervative.

If you...read these points and thought "it's just the ramblings of a neo-Nazi white Supremacist" then you are a cuckervative.

"Take my daughter, take my wife, take my land, just please don't call me a racist". These are the cuckervatives.

David Duke Destroys Kosher Conspiracy King Alex Jones

August 2015



For the inquiring mind trying to understand the world around them, its horrors and injustices, its thought-crimes and taboos, its elites and what precisely their agenda is and who they are, the road to enlightenment is beset with blind alleys and dead ends.

Alex Jones' purpose is to redirect the ire of his predominantly European audience away from the true power and instead into a never-ending maze of Illuminati, Knights Templar, ancient bloodlines and chemtrails gibberish. The most important issue for Jones is that Jewish power in politics, finance, and the media is never discussed.

That is, until yesterday, when he debated David Duke and was eviscerated. So much so that Jones' Jewish boss had to grab the

microphone in a failed attempt at stopping the real truth leaking out from the InfoWars broadcast.

The question being asked now is, “why did Jones allow that to happen?” Personally I don’t think he had a choice. Jones has been steadily losing credibility as the white nationalist or New right or reactionary right—whatever you want to call it—has been spreading its message further and further. It’s possible Jones thought he could make a fool of Duke and put the Jewish question back into the box. If this was his intention it was a catastrophic failure.

Get The Women & Jews To Safety!

October 2015



Watching a recent Pat Condell video I was reminded of why the cuckervative, counter-Jihad right can be so irritating. Condell hits all the right beats: Europe is undergoing an invasion, it does mean more rape for European women, we have been betrayed by the people ruling us, and the European media have largely cooperated in everything which is transpiring. No argument there.

I'll even give Condell a pass on rather disingenuously slipping in "culture" and "Muslim men" when the simple fact is we are being submerged into the foul genetic swamp that is the Third World, Muslim or not.

No, what really trips the mine fields of my mental border's bullshit detector is the manner in which Condell, Gates of Vienna, Breitbart, and all the rest of the Counter Jihad cucks never stop slipping 'the Jews" into the instant role of victimhood alongside European women. It isn't just Jews, of course; this neo-white man's burden would see us be equally concerned for Islamic apostates, women with mutilated

genitals, militant homosexuals, militant atheists, Arab Christians, and African Christians, but the Jewish burden weighs most heavily.

When European men still had courage and honour and a healthy sense of racism and suspicion toward non-whites, the phrase “women and children first” was meant as a moral obligation and sacred duty: our women and children are everything, and if you were not prepared to die for them you were a coward and deserved scorn and ridicule. What we are now being asked is to think in terms of “women, children, and Jews first” or “the Muslims have invaded, get the Jews to safety and then fight to the last man!”



“Get The Women and Jews To The Caves!”

For the cucked right every day is VE Day on the History Channel and every Jew is a tattered beggar running from the latest fascist menace, so in order to score a few gold stars, and perhaps some shekels via a New York think tank, they have included Jews alongside with our women and children. In Condell’s latest he repeats it again and again, that more Muslims means more rape for women and more danger for Jews.

The problem is, I don’t care. My allegiance is old-fashioned: it is to white women and white children. To the Islamic-obsessed right we are now seriously expected to count Jews as our most sacred kin? A

group we should weep over when harmed as much as our women and children? I find the very idea repulsive.

According to Professor Frank Salter from his research into ethnicity and the corresponding chaos of multiracial societies:

Within the clan the nuclear family is the most intense concentration of kinship, while geographical races and humanity as a whole are the least concentrated. In descending order of concentration, kinship runs thus: Nuclear family, extended family (clan), friends, ethny, race, humanity.

The reason the left are insane is because they think that with enough bloodshed and government tinkering they can disconnect the laws of nature from Europeans—in theory all of humanity, but they are only interested in doing it to Europeans. The reason the centre right and Counter Jihad are cuckolds is because they have introduced an alien element and are trying to fit it somewhere in the ethnocentric pyramid of Europeans.

All it takes, they would argue, is enough respect for the cultural norms and whatever abstract values they decide upon. Obviously, Jews are the worst example because it is they who have spent at least the last 100 years demonizing the existence of, and respect for, European ethnocentrism. Some would argue that the history of the Jews is so uniquely destructive that they hardly even belong in the bracket “humanity” on Salter’s scale; at any rate, they sure as hell do not belong in the category of my ethny or clan or race alongside white women and children. This is the very essence of cuckoldry.



Winter Is Coming, Get The Women and Children and Jews To Safety!

The tragic irony, of course, is that these right-wing talking heads and pundits are unable to speak bluntly about the threat to their own kin and ethny, their own women and children, without shoehorning the Jews into victim roles alongside their own women and children, because if they were to do so the Jews themselves would howl that they were Nazis and white supremacists who wanted to gas them.

And so we have ended up in the mind-bending situation of having the people who have the ability to destroy and/or suppress our natural feelings for our own people over others, become a “victim group” at the hands of hostiles that their own machinations are responsible for us having to accept.

When observing this game of identity poker in which the left and right match up their own victim groups, or try to outmaneuver each other using Jews and gays, you can't help but look upon racial nationalism as a beautifully simple and honest concept.

I care about my own. Anything beyond that is a matter of indulgence—that's the game everyone else is playing.

Part III

Leftists

Parasites, Tools, Fanatics, and More

Left-Wing Virtual Reality

October 2015



Recently, giving my new Twitter account a run-in I happened across prominent leftist Owen Jones and couldn't resist tweaking his nose a little to see how he'd react, hoping for a lively debate. As far as gentle leftists go, you'd have to try hard indeed to find a lefty with a better pedigree than Jones:

He describes himself as a "4th generation socialist"; his grandfather was involved with the Communist Party and his parents met as members of the Trotskyist Militant tendency.

Here is our (very brief) discussion:

Eimhear @EimhearCF

Can Jonesy teleport himself to the Russian Revolution? Sounds like an era he'd have loved to live in. Delirious.

Owen Jones @OwenJones84

Yeah. Look at my rampant Bolshevik ideas. It's like Leninism on speed.


Morgoth's Review @morgoth_rev
Did Jewish bankers fund you too Owen?

Owen Jones @OwenJones84
Oh hello anti-Semitism! Feel free to meet Twitter's blocking function.

Morgoth's Review @morgoth_rev
It's called the truth, Owen, it's why the Global elite love the left, you are their bouncers.

After this Jones blocked me from seeing his Tweets.

I'm forever fascinated by the left-wing brain. It's highly likely that as soon as Jones saw the word "Jew" in a reply his heart flipped—then there was the realisation that Jews were mentioned in less than flattering terms, and then, finally, that his core leftist beliefs, his very identity, was being brought into question. And so he ran for it; in effect, Jones was saying, "stop it, this is not right...I'm scared". There were numerous options open to Jones: he could have denied that Jewish bankers and the globalist establishment were on board with his ideals, he could have argued that this was not a bad thing, or he could have replied that it didn't matter. But there's a problem with that, for a leftist.



In the Star Trek series the crew are able to take a break from spreading liberalism across the universe by spending time in a “Holo-Deck”, a room where the ship’s computer can generate any scenario or place, historical event or training program. The crew member can play out the role of cowboy or private detective or admiral of a 17th-century warship. Obviously, if somebody wandered into the scenario and started pointing out the algorithms and programs which enable the illusion, they would be less than welcome.

This is basically the psychological situation of leftists such as Owen Jones when confronted with uncomfortable truths. The reason Jewish bankers such as Jacob Schiff funded the Bolshevik Revolution was because their fellow Jews, who were in Russia and Bolsheviks, could use vague slogans and inspiring language to massacre the Russian upper class and then plunder Russia, which they did. The equally Jewish “New Left”, or Cultural Marxism, which Jones is a famous advocate of, is equally useful to Global Finance because it facilitates the breakdown of the West, and European civilization, and race, which then allows global finance to see the people living there as units of production and consumption, rather than as distinct groups with ties and kinship.

The reality of Jones is that he is a lower level henchman of this system, a willing dupe, but in his “Holo-Deck” reality he’s a strident advocate of “equality” and “diversity”, fighting the mighty for the oppressed and downtrodden. It’s a different version of playing at being a cowboy or sailor. The irony is that Marxists used to refer to “false consciousness” as being the state, not of themselves, but the proletariat masses who rejected their dogma.

Paul Verhoeven’s 1990 classic Total Recall played around with “false consciousness” too. Arnold Schwarzenegger is frustrated by his lowly and tedious life as a construction worker and has a brain implant which, seemingly, transforms him into a super spy investigating a conspiracy on Mars. The plot is rather ambiguous as to what the real reality is, but we need not worry about that here.



I'll say it again, don't red pill me

In one standout scene a suited man appears to talk Arnie out of his fantasy. He points out that the reality he is in he requested when he entered the Total Recall program, explaining the logical fallacies and paradoxes which exist within the fake narrative. Arnie shoots him dead rather than accept he's living in a virtual reality.

This is what we try to do with leftists; it's why they come over as insane. It's why, when you point to the wiring of the Holo-Deck or the multiple plot holes in their narrative, they merely shut you down.

For example, if the West is run by a racist white patriarchy, then why does it allow leftists lucrative positions on TV panels and to write in the most prominent media outlets, dedicating their well-paid careers to destroying that very white patriarchy? If white privilege exists, then why aren't whites using it to stop non-whites outnumbering them in their own lands? Again, to ask these basic questions is to threaten to pull the plug out of the Holo-Deck computer.

It feels nice in their reality: as heroes of the oppressed and sidelined, they get to feel good, and morally absolved of the supposed crimes of their people. Trying to talk them down, or challenging their role play, is an assault on their very being.

The question is, what can we do about it? And the answer is, nothing much in regards to lost leftists. But we can reach so many people that the leftist social justice warriors are left to remain in their fantasy—but removed from public, and placed in a padded cell, with a straight jacket and mouth gag.



Tell me again how Muslims enrich us

Obviously, this would be easier if they did not have the very system they ostensibly oppose protecting them.

An Encounter With the Anti-fascists

August 2015



The lowest tier of the globalist scam of imposing “Communo-Capitalism” onto humanity, starting with the West, is not inhabited by blacks or Muslims; they are gaining from the project. The lowest of the low, the most lost and soulless, the orcs to the Judaic sorcerer, are the white people who think of themselves as “anti-fascists” or “anti-racists”.

Over the last weekend, in the aftermath of the “White Man March” in Liverpool, nationalists—that is to say, white people who do not want to become a dispossessed minority—entered into heated debate with the Antifa in the comments section of the Liverpool Echo newspaper.

The exchanges were useful in analysing the mentality of people who are desperate for their own group to disappear, though reading through online comments one can see that not all of these “anti-fascists” are actually white, but rather non-whites using the insanity of suicidal whites to piggy back their own ethno-centric agenda into political discourse. Here are the general patterns I observed in the debate:

- Their general tone is one of absolute hatred of white people, and as soon as their arguments are put to the test they revert to wrapping themselves up in liberal clichés. I saw again and again that the liberal mind is immune to facts and logic, and when all else fails they will simply revert to calling the opponent “racist”, like wrapping themselves in a comfort blanket—or rejecting arguments out of hand.
- It’s interesting to note that for people who claim to oppose racism they are more than happy to have non-whites who are blatantly anti-white in their ranks.
- Despite their hippy pretensions, the anti-racist is totalitarian and genocidal.
- They are pitiless liars.
- Non-whites can never be blamed for anything, the liberal mind removes any agency from them and spins it right back to blaming whites, even mass child rape.
- There is the inevitable race-mixer who has a personal stake in the anti-white narrative

The painful truth for the outright Marxist is that he is himself merely a tool of his oppressors. The reason they hate nationalists is because the Marxists’ worldview is founded on an utterly baseless assumption of the “brotherhood of man”, so within this anyone who rejects the

brotherhood of man ideal is a “class traitor”. So Marxisms old and new have converged to create a monster in the anti-white Cultural Marxist.

The more I argued with them the more I learned their dialectic. At first they calculated on the stupidity of their adversary. Then, when they could find no other way out, they played stupid themselves.

...Whenever you attacked one of the apostles, your hand closed around slimy matter which immediately separated and slipped through the fingers and the next moment reconstituted itself. If you struck such an annihilating blow that, observed by the audience, he had no choice but to agree with you, and thus you thought you had taken one step forward, the next day your amazement would be great. The Jew knew nothing at all about yesterday and repeated his same old twaddle as though nothing had happened; if you angrily challenged him on this, he could not remember a thing other than he had demonstrated the correctness of his assertions on the previous day.

Many times I stood there astonished.

I didn't know what to be more amazed at: their verbal agility or their art in lying.

Gradually, I began to hate them.

Kids Company And The Parasite Class

August 2015



It's always fascinating when a story breaks in which the wheels and cogs and springs of the liberal machine are exposed: the Kids Company debacle allows us a valuable glimpse into the mentality of the liberal establishment.

The obese Iranian immigrant, Camilla Batmanghelidji, long ago figured out how to play the game. She could have been just another unsightly foreigner angling to shaft the native British, but by wearing ridiculous garb and mouthing endless liberal platitudes she became "flamboyant", and because the liberal establishment loves nothing more than an outsider telling them how to behave, they grovelled before her.



Ostensibly, Kids Company was a children's charity. But both we the natives and the liberal class know that phrases such as "inner city youth" or "vulnerable young" or "marginalized kids" are euphemisms for black, criminal, gang, third-world, non-white, immigrants.

Even the definition of a "child" is stretched to incredulity. One "child" was 24-year-old Daniel Barnes who was given—not loaned, but given—£3000 for a removal van.


A dinduress helpfully explains where much of the £150,000,000 which Camilla swindled, £37,000,000 out of the tax payer, went to: "We would queue up and write our names down and get an envelope with £30...it was weed heaven on a Friday, you could smell it coming down the landings".

And Camilla didn't do too badly out of the scam herself. She acquired a personal chauffeur; the chauffeur, who was an Albanian immigrant, earned £40,000 a year and had Kids Company send his daughter to a private school to the tune of £28,000 a year. The only reason any of this was possible was because the liberal intelligentsia threw money at Camilla with wild abandon: the pop group Coldplay gave Camilla £8,000,000!



Harry Potter author JK Rowling, along with Jewish actress Gwyneth Paltrow, “artist” Damian Hirst, and just about every other liberal luvvie helped keep the money train chugging away. Camilla herself had Prime Minister David Cameron on speed dial, so when the number crunchers in whitehall began to wince at the appalling expense of it all they could easily be overruled. In any case, the Jewish Minister for Government policy, Oliver Letwin, openly admitted that they were scared to let Camilla sink because Kids Company was a “poster child” for the “Big Society” project and he personally ensured the cash kept flowing.

Eventually the rot could not be hidden any longer and a BBC reporter started to ask questions, questions which sent Alan Yentob, the Jewish “Creative Director” of the BBC and the Kids Company Chairman, into a frenzy. Though not even fellow Jews and BBC bosses Danny Cohen and James Harding could stop the toxic truth from slipping out.



And so this, then, this is what constitutes our cultural elite in modern Britain. A swarm of dopey pop stars, “artists” who make a mockery of the term, cretinous celebrities, moderate Muslims, flamboyant foreigners, a controlled press, all topped with Jewish capos in strategic positions of control—and all united in their profound contempt for the native people of these islands.

Far away from the exclusive Coldplay gigs and the Chelsea fundraisers and liberal networking, up in a grim and long forgotten northern town, thousands of underage white girls have been raped and tortured by the brown babies the liberal elite adore. JK Rowling hasn’t sent any signed copies of Harry Potter to the victims; Gwyneth Paltrow isn’t turning up with Boris Johnson to sip on champagne while listening to “urban poetry” because the whole thing has been buried. The councilors and the child protection agencies and the police simply didn’t want to know. Astounded, the local white British ask, “how could this happen? What the hell is going on?”



The answer to that is that the intellectual, economic, political, and cultural life of their nation is occupied by a vast liberal parasite which despises them. Its hatred drips out of the London intelligentsia and oozes its way along bureaucratic pathways and into local government and law enforcement. When the weak-willed dullard working for local council looks upwards, toward his or her “betters” in society, he sees the London liberal set: that is where careers are made and that is where the trendy new ethics are largely emanating from. And why cause trouble? The mortgage has to be paid and £40,000 a year for ticking boxes is a nice little number. It doesn’t take long for everyone to get the message: working class white girls are the new kulaks, non-whites are protected and fawned over.

And so while girls with names such as Teonnie and Zaketa pick up their envelopes of cash for the Friday night weed session, girls called Tracey and Emma are being horrifically violated in decidedly untrendy towns with names such as Burnley and Hull and Rochdale. And the liberals in London congratulate themselves on their own moral righteousness and dedication to “equality”.

Post Capitalism: The Shallowness Of The Left

August 2015



Left-wing economics guru Paul Mason has a new book out called *Post-Capitalism: A Guide To Our Future*.

According to Mason the present financial system will fade away, slowly drifting off into its dotage and not minding one bit that its power and influence, which has been centuries in the making, will be for nothing. The serfs will win out in the end because they have apps that bypass Google and robots and automatons to do their jobs; all that is left is to suppress monopolies and walk off into the sunny, luscious pastures of absolute equality; the brotherhood of man will finally arrive. As one reviewer in The Independent put it: “As for the one per cent, they would be poorer – but also happier because their money does not bring them happiness. His brutality there is coated in saccharine.”

It's always fascinating to note how naive the left are on core issues. Mason seems to think that wars and revolutions are by-products of the global financial system, and not an inherent part of its power over “humanity”. The fact that the money power has enslaved vast tracts of humanity with debt (that is to say, usury) is to the leftist just another negative of “the system—and not its entire purpose. To ask “why?”

and “who?” would force the left down into rabbit warrens they fear to tread.

The left is itself a creation of the money power and that is why so many of its core values are completely on board with globalism. As Mason admits, even after his utopian vision comes into practice, the globalist superstructures would remain largely intact. So however this plays out, we will still have the IMF, EU, UN and a whole host of dubious international laws and institutions to govern over us plebs.

Needless to say, mass immigration will continue apace, as will Islamification and the resultant ethnic strife. Low birth rates are an issue for the left only because of the inability of ageing populations to repay the money power, not because it is tragic to see peoples and ethnic groups disappear from the face of the earth. Earlier this year the German birth rate was reported to be the lowest in the world; to the thinking leftist, this can be offset by immigration and automation. The Hamburg Institute for Economics put it thus:

A study says Germany's birth rate has slumped to the lowest in the world, prompting fears labour market shortages will damage the economy. Germany has dropped below Japan to have not just the lowest birth rate across Europe but also globally, according to the report by Germany-based analysts. Its authors warned of the effects of a shrinking working-age population. They said women's participation in the workforce would be key to the country's economic future.

“Without strong labour markets, Germany cannot maintain its economic edge in the long run,” he added.

But this begs the question, what is the economy for? For the money power it is about milking humanity dry and blending humanity together to create an even more subservient cattle class. For the left is it to raise, or lower, living standards depending on what their ideal level of “equality” is at that time. Both are insidiously materialistic, if not downright malicious; both are empty at their core.

In the 1930's the German birth rate soared because Germany had been taken out of the global financial racket and all the resources of the German people, in Germany and on German land, were redirected

to serve the interests of the Germans as a people. Not as a servile mass of debt slaves and not as disposable lab rats on the road to the egalitarian utopia, just as Germans. And for this the money power had half the world declare war upon them. Mason's expectation that global finance will go quietly off into the night is extraordinarily naive.

Having said all of that, if what passes for the "thinking left" is looking toward a new system which is not entirely dependent on Zio-banking and usury then, in these troubled times, might we not steal it and racialize it?

The Religious Fanaticism Of The Left

September 2015



I just happened to come across a story in The Express which had the following title:

“Anger as Labour MP tells Government: Let’s keep accepting refugees until Britain BREAKS”.

Ok, we know the Labour Party faithful are as mad as badgers and the Express is prone to hysteria, so take this with a pinch of salt, perhaps? No, she really did say it:

She told the gathering: “We need to shout so much more and say 20,000 is not enough, 30,000 is not enough.

“We will keep going until we hit our saturation point because what does it matter if we have to wait another week for a hospital visit?

“Or if our class sizes are slightly bigger; or if our city is slightly fuller?

“What does it matter if things are slightly more challenging?

“If we have to pay a little bit more into the system?

“Surely it is worth it to see those lives being restored again.”

Presumably, by “more challenging” she means ethnic cleansing and mass rape of the natives, followed by complete dispossession. As GW at Majority rights noted, this woman is a religious nut:

The left-liberal mind has an excuse. It is religious in kind, and has little contact to or experience of normal people. In any normal age, such religios would be nuns and monks alone in their cells with a bible and some knotted cord, flagellating themselves to drive out sin and be blessed in virtue. In our time, though, they have learned a neat little trick whereby they don’t have to enter seclusion or even beat their own backs. They can beat the backs of their people, and the virtue will still be all theirs. And there is practically no limit to how much virtue they can accommodate.

Quite.



Rachael Maskell MP

@RachaelMaskell

Labour MP for York Central.

Campaigning for a fairer and more equal society.

The Ultimate Cucks

November 2015



It's a situation we've all been in at one time or another: sitting with your beloved, snuggled up in the sanctuary of your home, when a question arises:

"You know something, my darling, something just isn't right, we're missing something..."

“Oh! what is it my dearest? perhaps more of those scented candles?”

“No, that it isn’t it.”

“Perhaps an aquarium, just to add a little more ambiance to our private space.”

“No, I was thinking we could house a 15-stone negro buck fresh in from Africa, my sweet.”

“Oh...great!”

So must have went the conversation between Jonas Kakoschke and Mareike Geiling, the German couple behind the Refugees Welcome website which now facilitates and encourages Europeans to take the Third World directly into their homes. Jonas and Mareike were a couple with their own place; now they’re a couple with Bakary, an African immigrant. You really have to just stop and think for a second as the jaw-dropping cuckoldry sinks in.

Most men like to think of themselves as a bit romantic, if not soppy. Jonas is a bit romantic too, and soppy—except he is overly tender towards strapping Africans who just invaded his country at the behest of the EU elites. When Mareike skips past in a towel revealing a little too much, Bakary will get an eyeful too. When Jonas and Mareike feel like having a cosy night in with a bottle of wine, Bakary will have to have a glass. When Jonas and Mareike giggle at an inside joke just they know about, they will have to then share the joke with Bakary. A Son of Africa will be there watching, always watching and listening, within the confines of the privacy of their home.



Jonas and Mereike enjoy the intimacy of reading a book together...with Bakary



Mereike tries out her sexy new Lingerie...Bakary likes



Jonas and Mereike enjoy a special moment by the sea...with Bakary

Asked of the benefits to the hosts, 28-year-old Mareike Geiling, her altruism having been thoroughly misdirected by Jews into funneling it towards conscienceless, violent, parasitic invaders, tells Vice that “of course, living together also enriches the hosts”.

Here in the UK where we have had decades of ever-intensifying levels of what the media and politicians have tried to sell as “vibrant” and “enriching” “diversity”. Most of us aren’t able to even keep a straight face now if someone tries to use these terms in a serious way. We’ve watched how as areas becoming more “vibrant” magically also become less friendly, dirtier, and more crime ridden.

To white people who have spent time in multicultural areas or who pay attention to the news, the phrase “living together enriches the hosts” is more likely to conjure up something like this...



...than this...



Asked about her reason for starting this bizarre scheme, Mareike says,

We don't like how refugees are treated in Germany. No one leaves his country without a reason, and [the government is] putting them into mass accommodation, where 100 people have to share one bathroom, outside of the city center, where

there are no Germans living or people that speak German. You are put together in one room with many people, not from the same country, [whom] you maybe don't understand. You have to stay there for a lot of months; you get depressed.



Firstly is the laughable “no one leaves his country without a reason” platitude, where she is obviously meaning that there must be some desperate reason to flee. Aside from lying Jews, globalist UN and “charity” apparatchiks, and completely brainwashed white liberals who screw their own people over for a feelgood endorphin kick, literally everyone in the entire world, from middle-class Malaysians to Nigerian souvenir craftsmen, will tell you it’s for free houses, healthcare, and cash from hated white taxpayers.

Secondly is Mareike’s complete and utter schoolboy error here in believing the omnipresent media lies and propaganda claiming humans are all the same. These levels of naivete are found only in white liberals and precisely no one else in the entire world—Arab, South Asian and black men do not want to be living with Germans; they want free money and for the authorities to turn a blind eye as they get on with raping and whatever other activities criminally and violently inclined young men want to be doing.

They are not like Germans and they don't want to be like Germans. They do not see you as equals and potential friends however nice you are or however well you treat them. You are forever in their outgroup, and they only see you in terms of what they can get from you, your friendship not being something they desire. As an individual you are a purse, wallet, or phone to be snatched, or a body to rape. And as a society you are there to provide them with as many gibsmedats as you can, on threat of rioting.

Lapin and Dr_Eigenvector contributed to this post.

Part IV

Subversives

Yes, Breitbart, the Jews Did Open the Gates

November 2015



Once again Breitbart London has led the cattle to water but refuses to let them drink. A piece on a viral YouTube video highlighting the third-world invasion of Europe seems to be the news site pushing the boundaries of what can be said in a relatively mainstream outlet.

However, this isn't really Breitbart highlighting the video because they have the best interests of Europeans at heart, even if they do go further than other MSM outlets in addressing the issue. Their real problem is that this video mentions the Jewish influence in the invasion and impending dispossession of Europeans on their own soil. Breitbart's objective here is to say "Ok, it's awful and you [readership] are probably going to see this video, just remember not to take notice of the parts which mention Jews"

According to Breitbart:

Although the main thrust of the film is to goad native Europeans against mass migration and the negative effects of multiculturalism, the film also paradoxically takes a swipe at one European minority group who stand to lose almost the most from mass Muslim immigration. It also includes a short clip of discredited, anti-Semitic politician Nick Griffin, former Member of the European Parliament and leader of the British National Party.

The inclusion of Mr. Griffin, an unpopular figure even in Britain's nationalist right and the rapid success of the film in the Netherlands suggests the film may not have been edited by a British citizen.

Breitbart London has reported at length on the rising tide of anti-Semitism in Europe which has arrived with mass migration. From Jews being specifically targeted for degrading house-invasion rape-thefts to Jews being excluded from Holocaust commemorations because of Muslim attendees hijacking events, migrant-Europe is now hostile towards Jews at a level not seen in decades.

In actual fact, Jews are openly proud of their contribution to what they, and liberal Gentiles, refer to as "our humanistic values". In a 2013 Conference in Israel (!) called "The Jewish Contribution to the European Integration Project", the section entitled "Between Assimilation and Distinctiveness" explained:

Between Assimilation and Distinctiveness

Having experienced the effects of discrimination and racism, many Jews have been at the forefront of advancing humanistic values in their countries. Consciously or unconsciously inspired by the biblical description of the messianic age, many—an among them European political leaders such as Walter Rathenau and Leon Blum as well as the German-born American political scientist Hans Morgenthau—dreamed of a united Europe and sowed the seeds of a wealthy, tolerant and warless continent. Out of the ashes of the Holocaust, the Jewish jurist Rene Cassin drafted the Universal Declaration of Human Rights whose principles inspired the founders of the entity that would later become the European Union. The idea of a supranational political entity that could protect minorities from unethical and discriminatory national laws was a blessing for European Jews and many of them worked to advance its establishment. The election of Mrs. Simone Veil as the first President of the European Parliament illustrated this intimate link between the new peaceful Europe and its Jews.

In other words, Jews wrote the draft legislation and the moral framework under which Europeans would live, the entire purpose was to banish European ethnic interests and replace them with a civic code which could be adopted by anyone on earth. And now everyone on earth is coming to Europe and when Europeans disagree the European Jewish Congress is on hand to agitate for more hate speech legislation. And this is helped along gleefully by people such as Federica Mogherini, the Foreign Secretary of the European Union Commission, who thinks:

If we do not realise this, our whole message risks to sound empty. We need to pass our cultural message, to lay the basis for our political message: any attempt to divide the peoples of Europe into 'Us' and 'Them' brings us in the wrong direction. The migrants and us. The Muslims and us. The Jews and us, as anti-Semitism has not been defeated at all. The 'Other' and us. We learned from our history that we are all someone else's 'Other'. The fear of the 'Other' can only lead us to new conflicts. I hope we can work together to increase our self-confidence. When we say we are European, we should also remember what is the root of our European culture. Our diversity. That is our strength and we should learn to be proud of it

Indeed, Breitbart have themselves reported on the Jew George Soros's attempts to smash European Nation states:

SOROS ADMITS INVOLVEMENT IN MIGRANT CRISIS: 'NATIONAL BORDERS ARE THE OBSTACLE'

The OSF website explains: "We believe that migration and asylum policy should be grounded in economic and demographic realities, not driven by temporary political considerations or popular misconceptions."

But what Breitbart specializes in is a "save the women and Jews first" narrative, wherein the Jews are the primary victims of "the left" or Islam or whatever mysterious forces are facilitating the Third World (and yes, Islamic) invasion of Europe. The onus should not be on us, ethnic Europeans, to automatically think the Jews are our staunchest allies or, because of history, a group which we should feel morally impelled to be protective over. The Jewish support for the invasion and for the morality of self-guilt that psychologically hamstrings

Europeans is so obvious, so ubiquitous, that a counter-argument is simply not feasible. The line taken by Breitbart and other neocon-lite outlets is to point out that Jews are now under threat from Islam, but this does not negate or change the fact that Jews have been pivotal in the present woes of Europe. It merely raises the question of why they support policies which are detrimental to some Jews. And besides, it isn't as if there is no historical precedent for Jews cooperating with Islam against Europeans...



The following passage comes from a website dedicated to ensuring common ground and respect between Muslims and Jews. The site is called "Judaism Islam: Discovering similarities between Islam and Judaism".

In the spring of 711, a Muslim army invaded Iberia led by Tariq ibn Ziyad, serving the Arab governor Musa ibn Nusayr, at Guadalete they swiftly defeated Roderick (Luthariq) the Visigoth King and then marched northward to the Visigoth capital of Toledo. Both Latin and Arabic chroniclers record that the Jews of the city "opened the gates of Toledo" to Tariq, who conquered the city. With more cities to take Tariq left Toledo and entrusted its protection to a garrison of Jewish soldiers, whom had rose up against the Catholic Visigoths and opened the gates.

When Tariq's master, Musa ibn Nusayr, arrived in Iberia with a large Arab force he seized Seville and like Tariq before him, he entrusted the city to its Jewish inhabitants until his return.

Had the Jews of Iberia not been the victims of such continuous barbarity from their Christian neighbours it is unlikely they'd have turned on them, but with the Muslim invasion this oppressed people tasted a freedom they hadn't for centuries. There is no greater example of Jewish and Muslim coexistence than al-Andalus, the Jews not only fought side by side with their Muslim cousins, but under the caliphates born out of the conquests the Jews lived as a free and protected people who were able reach the highest of positions in this new society.

And the following passage comes from a Jewish site tracing the history of the Jewish people called "Sephardic Studies"

Two years later in 711 C.E., Moorish soldiers (a mixed Arab and Berber army) crossed over from Africa to the Iberian Peninsula. They were led by General Tariq ibn Ziyad, Governor of Tangiers. He advanced his army of near ten thousand men across the strait, and landed at a location, which from that day since has sustained his name--Jabal Tarik (Mount Tarik), or Gibraltar.

The Moors engaged in battle with Visigothic soldiers, eventually killing their monarch, King Roderick. The Muslim invasion, and subsequent administration of Iberia, freed the major Spanish population of Jews from Visigothic oppression. It was said that immediately after the invasion, the Jewish population of Toledo "opened the gates" of the city, welcoming the North African Muslims. Though ruthless fighters, the Moors were very just. They gave the Goth Spaniards an opportunity to surrender each of their provinces, to which most capitulated.

It goes on...

Later, after advancing to Cordoba, the Muslims found that the Goth nobles of the kingdom had fled over the Pyrenees Mountains, all but abandoning their land to them. The occupation of the Moors set the stage for beginning the work of building an Islamic empire similar to the one flourishing in Damascus. Within a century of their activity, the Moors, with assistance of the Jews, had developed a civilization based in Cordoba that surpassed that of any in Europe; it was known as Al-Andalus. At the end of the eighth century, Al-Andalus was the most populous, cultured, and industrious land of all Europe, remaining so for centuries. During this prosperous period, trade with the outside world was unrivaled.

It was during this time of economic expansion, the Jews, who had been virtually eliminated from the peninsula in the seventh century by the Christians, grew once more in numbers and flourished

The occupation of Iberia by the Moors was a welcome occurrence for a well pummeled and remaining Jewish population. Of course the Muslims were not completely tolerant, but they were more tolerant than the rulers of the previous administration. Under the ruling Caliph (the descendant of Mohammed--the prophet of G-d on earth), the Jews were able to preserve their rites and traditions.

From the second half of the eighth century to the end of the eleventh century Jewish life flourished while contributing greatly to scholarship. A translating program was established in Toledo, using Jews as interpreters. There they translated the Arabic books into romance languages, as well as Greek and Hebrew texts into Arabic. This included many major works of Greek science and philosophy. Jews studied and contributed to mathematics, medicine, botany, geography, poetry, and philosophy. It was at this time that the study of Medicine expanded to produce a large number of exceptional Jewish physicians. Islam had its sway over Jewish cultural life too. In literature, and the arts, the Muslim influence on the Jews is enormous. Though written in non-Islamic language and script, medieval Hebrew poetry, and much of the prose literature, belong to the same cultural world as Arabic and other literatures of Islam. In the Caliphate of Cordoba [the geographical zenith of Islamic life in Al-Andalus], the Jewish element became increasingly important, reaching its peak in the tenth century.

Jews lived among themselves in a walled area known as the aljama (Jewish quarter). There they lived among their own administration, and managed their own communal affairs. There the Jewish community had their own legal court known as the Beit Din. This court, with Rabbis as Judges, would render both religious and civil legal opinions pertaining to Jewish affairs inside the aljama. In the Beit Din the Jews were allowed to settle their own disputes. This of course was positive for the them; but it was also positive for the Muslims to, as it decreased the work load of the Islamic courts.

The Jewish elevation of the Muslim colonisation of Spain as a fantastic golden age for Europe, while painting European colonisation of countries as unmitigated evil and the Crusades as a vicious attack by wicked Christians on innocent Muslims, is typical. Francis Carr Begbie

writes about how organised Jewry gifted “British” Muslims their own “Holocaust” with Srebrenica:

British Muslims need to have a “holocaust” of their own, and this one fits the bill perfectly. Despite the unlikelihood of most British/Pakistani Muslims being able to find Bosnia on a map, it will help them burnish their victimhood credentials.

Of course there are no shortage of massacres of Muslims in the world but they are usually carried out by other Muslims or Israelis and therefore not fit for purpose. This one was carried out by whites and is much more useful.

To ram this message home, live BBC programmes have been broadcasting local commemorative events across Britain with special emphasis on towns with large Islamic populations such as Oldham, Burnley, Blackburn, Northampton and Rochdale which, curiously enough, are also among the towns plagued by the worst cases of Muslim child sex abuse rings.

[...]

In London the Wiener Library for the Study of Genocide and Holocaust will be the venue for the “multi-faith” launch of new charity, Remembering Srebrenica which will be spending £1 million of taxpayers money sending hundreds of British kids to Bosnia every year to remember the occasion. The charity is off to a good start with the BBC devoting a prime time television documentary to its activities.

For Dr Alexander Korb, director of the University of Leicester’s Stanley Burton Centre for Holocaust and Genocide Studies, Srebrenica had a special resonance. “What seems to be like a distant shadow of the horrors of the Holocaust, happened just 20 years ago on our doorstep, in the middle of Europe, during the Bosnian wars.

[...]

Prominent Jewish Holocaust survivors have beaten a path to Bosnia. They include the Academy Award winning producer of the Steven Spielberg film “Schindler’s List” and a Judge at the International Criminal Tribunal for former Yugoslavia.

Much effort has gone into shackling the two “holocausts” together. Groups such as the American Jewish Committee and Jewish Council for Public Affairs have led the pursuit of alleged (Serbian) war criminals. Bosnia is one of the few places that host Jewish-Muslim multi faith conferences.

I would suggest reading the article in full for more sniveling Jew quotes, including wanting to criminalise denial of Srebrenica's status as a genocide—despite the fact that only 2,000 bodies were found, that everyone except the neocons say both sides were equally bad, and that the UN has cast serious doubt on all of the neocon narrative. Can there be any doubt that organised Jewry despise us and that Muslims are their allies in their goal to destroy every European on the planet?

It's very common these days to see Israeli Jews commenting below the line on American and Israeli articles about how Europe is sinking under the weight of the Muslim invaders with comments relishing our demise along the lines of "well, Europeans threw out the Jews and welcomed Muslims. If they love Muslims so much they can reap the consequences". This completely ignores the fact that a majority of people in every European country are against third world immigration, and the fact that the biggest cheerleaders for our invasion are..... Jewish.

THE AGE

Victoria

[Victoria News](#) [Traffic Conditions](#) [State Election 2014](#) [AFL News](#) [Quizzes](#)

You are here: Home > Victoria >

Jewish Holocaust Centre compares Syrian refugees with Jews fleeing Nazi-occupied Europe

September 9, 2015

Bianca Hall

 [Read later](#)

Our treatment of today's refugees harks back to Europe's darkest hour

We mustn't forget the lessons of the Second World War in the face of today's refugee crisis, says Molly Scott Cato.

In the 1930s, thousands of persecuted people fled Europe. Our own press ignominiously reported these as "**Stateless Jews pouring into this country**" and various records exist from that time of public officials reassuring readers that no such thing would be allowed under their watch.

With the benefit of historical hindsight we now know what fate awaited many of those Jews who were turned away from sanctuary. Quite rightly, we now express horror about the Holocaust, an iconic example of the most shocking event of human history, and pledge ourselves to stop anything like it happening again.



+ More



Save for Later

WorldViews

Europe's fear of Muslim refugees echoes rhetoric of 1930s anti-Semitism

Partner Links:
Learn Hebrew
Judaica Web Store
Thrifty Car Rental

THE TIMES OF ISRAEL

[SUBSCRIBE TO THE DA](#)

 670k

Jewish Times

Mindful of risks, European Jews urge aid to refugees

Hearing Echoes Of The Past, Jews Are On The Front Line Of The European Refugee Crisis



Paul Brandeis Raushenbush

Executive Editor Of Global Spirituality and Religion, The Huffington Post



Posted: 10/02/2015 07:46 AM EDT | Edited: 10/06/2015 08:06 PM EDT

Heartbreaking images of men, women and children risking everything for a better life continue to dominate the news as well over [500,000 migrants](#) and refugees have entered the European Union in the first eight months of this year alone.

In the face of such a serious humanitarian crisis, it is not surprising that religious organizations have responded with efforts to help the newly arrived populations. Pope Francis urged every [Catholic parish to take in a family](#), and the Muslim charity Islamic Relief is also active in the region. However, no religious group has responded with more passion and sense of identification than the Jewish community.

If you hate the migrants in Calais, you hate yourself

Nick Cohen



As Jews we should be outraged that Britain is not doing more to help refugees



By **Josh Jackman**
September 3, 2015

Share 271



MORE FROM THIS AUTHOR

- Why did Theresa May change her mind on immigrants?
- Should a charity ever turn down money?
- Antisemites - You Don't Even Go Here
- The Six Sins of JSwipe

LATEST COMMENTS

- happygoldfish RE: MEMO 352
- happygoldfish RE: MEMO 352
- happygoldfish RE: Is the BBC biased when it comes to Israel? Two of its journalists speak out
- happygoldfish RE: MEMO 352
- happygoldfish RE: Instructive antisemitic comparison



crisis

SEPTEMBER 3, 2015 - BOARD OF DEPUTIES - SHARE:

"You must not oppress foreigners. You know what it's like to be a foreigner, for you yourselves were once foreigners in the land of Egypt." (Exodus 23:9)"



The Board of Deputies expresses its horror and pain at the death of Aylan Kurdi, the three year old Syrian Kurdish boy who drowned alongside his brother Galip and their mother, Rehan off the coast of Turkey. The family are from Kobani, which has been subjected to some of the fiercest fighting between ISIS and Kurdish groups. We hope that the shocking photo which has made its way around the world will spur world leaders into action.

Senior Vice President Richard Verber said: "As war rages with unthinkable barbarity in the Middle East, we must be diligent in avoiding using dehumanising language when discussing this issue, particularly given the history of Jews in the UK – most of whom are descended from refugees. We must act with compassion and care towards our fellow human beings."

One of the questions most commonly asked about the Jewish question is why Jews would want to flood Europe with Muslims who have shown to be far more vocal and proactive in their anti-Semitism than anything comparable from Europeans in recent decades.

Lawrence Auster, a Jewish conservative who converted to Christianity as an adult, wrote an instructive piece for FrontPage Magazine on this topic entitled Why Jews Welcome Muslims

First of all, as crazy as it may sound, there is something that many American Jews fear in their heart of hearts even more than they fear Moslem anti-Semitism, and that is white Christian anti-Semitism. Stephen Steinlight pointed to this phenomenon at a recent panel discussion hosted by the Center for Immigration Studies.

Every high profile Jewish institution, whether it's a national organization or a major synagogue, is surrounded by concrete barriers to prevent car bombs exploding too close to the buildings. If you go through the lobbies into those buildings you have to pass metal detectors and double-doors of bulletproof glass. You are then frisked by security guards, mostly retired New York City police or Israeli agents, and then are scanned again with metal detectors.

What is truly comic about this—were it not an instance in the theatre of the absurd, and were it not so appalling an indication of the kind of mass denial that is still governing major American Jewish organizations, including the one I used to work for that's currently meeting across the street—is that the staffs of these organizations pass the car bomb barriers, go through the double bulletproof glass lobbies, get frisked, then go upstairs into their offices and spend their days talking about the threats posed by evangelical Christians...

Jews' risible obsession with non-existent evangelical Protestant anti-Semites, combined with their obliviousness to actual mass murdering Islamist anti-Semites (whom, moreover, the Jews' favored immigration policies have allowed into this country) is an amazing phenomenon that we should not dismiss as simply a bizarre ethnic idiosyncrasy. It expresses, rather, a central preoccupation of a significant number of Jews, namely their corrosive apprehension of what they think the goyim might one day do to them—a fear they entertain despite the fact that, apart from some social exclusions and other ethnic prejudices that existed up to the end of World War II, Jews have never faced serious anti-Semitism from the white Christian majority in this country.

Indeed, notice that Jews present anti-Semitic attacks by Muslims not as a consequence of huge mass immigration from the Islamic world, but as a part of European opposition to mass immigration and historic European hostility towards Jews.

The Times of Israel writes:

BRUSSELS — A senior European Union official has warned of rising anti-Semitism in Europe as attacks and threats against Jews continue in EU member countries.

European Commission Vice President Frans Timmermans said Thursday that “in the last couple of years you’ve seen this age-old monster come up again in Europe.”

Speaking before a conference on religious intolerance, he said, “This is unacceptable. I thought we knew better. I wouldn’t have thought it would be possible... but it’s happening again.”

Timmermans said that “it’s a vital question for the future of Europe that our Jewish community feels at ease and completely at home.”

Europe’s top human rights watchdog also voiced concern Thursday at mounting racism and anti-Semitism in Germany, citing a wave of far-right, anti-Islam demonstrations at odds with the more recent image of a country ready to open its doors to hundreds of thousands of refugees.

“There have been worrying developments as regards public manifestations of racism and xenophobia,” the Council of Europe’s committee on national minorities said in a statement.

“Manifestations of anti-Semitism and anti-Muslim and anti-immigrant sentiments are... reported to be rising, as well as attacks against asylum seekers,” it said in a report.

Meanwhile, attacks throughout Europe have caused many Jews to contemplate leaving the continent.

French Jews in particular have moved to Israel in record numbers recently amid an uptick in anti-Semitic incidents, including an attack in a kosher supermarket by an Islamist gunman that left four shoppers dead. In 2014, nearly 7,000 French Jews left for Israel – more than three times the number in 2011.

In a hysterical article entitled “Is it time for the Jews to leave Europe”, Jeffrey Goldberg at least has the grace to acknowledge that most of the anti-Semitism comes from Muslims, but then blows it by managing

to somehow blame this on Europeans for not giving them more gibsmedat or not somehow magically bestowing upon them the same IQs and personality traits as Europeans.

That the chief propagators of contemporary European anti-Semitism may be found in the Continent's large and disenfranchised Muslim immigrant communities—communities that are themselves harassed and assaulted by hooligans associated with Europe's surging right—is flummoxing to, among others, Europe's elites. Muslims in Europe are in many ways a powerless minority. The failure of Europe to integrate Muslim immigrants has contributed to their exploitation by anti-Semitic propagandists and by recruiters for such radical projects as the Islamic State, or ISIS.

Make fun of any group? Go to jail.	(b) "Group libel" means: defamatory comments made in public and aimed against a group as defined in paragraph (a) – or members thereof – with a view to inciting to violence, slandering the group, holding it to ridicule or subjecting it to false charges.	More indoctrination. (a) Schools, from the primary level upwards, will introduce courses encouraging students to accept diversity and promoting a climate of tolerance as regards the qualities and cultures of others.
Notice gender bias isn't in that list? It was left out on purpose.	(e) Take concrete action to combat intolerance, in particular with a view to eliminating racism, colour bias, ethnic discrimination, religious intolerance, totalitarian ideologies, xenophobia, anti-Semitism, anti-feminism and homophobia.	Even more indoctrination. (b) Similar courses will be incorporated in the training of those serving in the military and law enforcement agencies.
Housing is a right?	(a) Right to housing.	How about a little more indoctrination. (c) Training and tolerance awareness courses will be made available to different strata of society, with an emphasis on professional groups.
I do like this.	Another example is that, given the need to fight crime, persons may not be allowed to cover their faces in public.	
Want to say "fuck it" and go innawoods? Can't!	Tolerance does not mean that a group can segregate itself from society as a whole, repudiating the need to interface with other groups.	Indoctrination funded by taxpayers. (g) The production of books, plays, newspapers reports, magazine articles, films and television programmes – promoting a climate of tolerance – will be encouraged and, where necessary, subsidized by the Government.
Male circumcision is okay though? "Exploitation" and Domination" need to be defined here.	Examples: tolerance does not denote acceptance of such practices as female circumcision, forced marriage, polygamy or any form of exploitation or domination of women.	"A prescribed percentage", yeah that's going to turn out well. (a) The Government shall ensure that public broadcasting (television and radio) stations will devote a prescribed percentage of their programmes to promoting a climate of tolerance, as per Section 8(f).
More special treatment. And some preferential treatment	Members of vulnerable and disadvantaged groups are entitled to a special protection. The special protection afforded to members of vulnerable and disadvantaged groups may imply a preferential treatment.	"Shall encourage", nasty bit of language that is. Didn't print your tolerance quota? Here's some fines for "encouragement". (b) The Government shall encourage all privately owned mass media (including the printed press) to promote a climate of tolerance, as per Section 8(f).
No, just no.	Foster international cooperation with similar bodies in other States.	
TO THE INDOCTRINATION CAMPS!	(b) Juveniles convicted of committing crimes listed in paragraph will be required to undergo a rehabilitation programme designed to instill in them a culture of tolerance.	
You're a meanie, so I'm going to rape you in court.	(e) Victims of crimes listed in paragraph (a) will have a legal standing to bring a case against the perpetrators, as well as a right to redress.	
And I'll do it on the taxpayer's dime.	(f) Free legal aid will be offered to victims of crimes listed in paragraph (a), irrespective of qualification in terms of impecuniosity.	

Above is an image made by someone on /pol/ reacting to the Council of Tolerance and Reconciliation's proposed hate speech laws that they have been more forcefully trying to push Europe-wide year on year. The legislation, which would ban criticism of immigration, criticism of LGBTQI+ goings on, criticism of feminism and criticism of

Islam, also stipulates that broadcasters and the media at large must dedicate a set percentage of their airtime and other resources on “promoting diversity and tolerance”.

People constantly say that Muslims are a danger to free speech but this document, written up by Jewish lawyers and headed by Moshe Kantor, head of the European Council of Jews, is far more terrifying. And while Muslims have the establishment on their side over native Europeans, they are not the establishment—Jews are. Even the name, the “Council of Tolerance and Reconciliation” is a window into the mindset of organised Jewry—Europe (and diaspora Europeans in the USA, Australia, etc.) must be engaged in “reconciliation” towards Jews for the expulsions and the Holocaust mythos forever, until we as a people cease to exist.

Lapin and the M-Rev commentators contributed to this article.

Ashley Madison: Every. Damn. Time!

August 2015



A few years back I get came across an article in the Daily Telegraph on a new dating site. This dating site had a twist. It was only to be used by married people who wanted to cheat on their spouses. It was designed to make secrecy and deceit easier, to make the act of having sex behind your loved one's back more accessible, more morally negligible, and harder to trace than ever before. As I browsed the article and took on board the implications of such a site, before I had seen a name or a picture, I knew, or I was pretty sure, that a Jewish person had invented this poison, and that a Jew was now making a mountain of cash from it.

And I was right.

Noel Biderman, it turned out, is a Jew. How was that possible?

The easy reply would be that I'm a raging anti-Semite and see crazy Jewish conspiracies everywhere, I blame them for everything et cetera.

Well, if I saw another article in the Daily Telegraph on that same day and the headline was “20 Dead In Suicide Bombing”, I would assume that a Muslim had done it; I could not be 100% sure, but it would be more than likely. Another article might read “woman raped and stabbed by street gang”; on this occasion I would assume that blacks were involved, and I would probably be correct then, too. Let’s try another, a headline which reads “Foreign Aid Workers Raped and Murdered in Africa”: obviously the perpetrators would be black, but I could be pretty sure that the victims would be white women; indeed, they would be middle-class white women and their politics would be liberal or left-wing.

Stereotypes work.

Your brain recognizes a pattern or trend and then analyses the constituent components of that trend. Political correctness, which was also invented by Noel’s ethnic group, erects an electric fence around the parts of your mind trying to point out the traits of other ethnic groups.

Noel Biderman is a Jew who is making millions off the misery of others. He is undermining the foundations of society; usually this is just western society, but in Biderman’s case it is the whole world! But nevertheless, for this stereotype to hold true and for me to be able to correctly guess at the ethnicity of a person who is making millions off an infidelity site, Jews would already have to be deeply involved with feminism, gay rights, porn, and any other areas which undermine traditional relationships and society. All of which can be easily proven to be the case.



Another typically Jewish stereotype, or as they would have it, “anti-Semitic Canard/trope”, which is literally thousands of years old, is Jews making profit from misery. And here again Biderman does not let us down; in an interview with the Evening Standard Biderman said:

“Controversy is just society reshaping its values,” he says with a shrug. “I’m not an elected politician. I’m a businessman — and if there’s a business opportunity that’s legal, I’m prepared to pursue it.”

Actually Noel is—surprise, surprise—not being entirely truthful here. In response to the banning of his site in Singapore:

“It’s not too conservative, it’s not too challenging. I think this is an anomaly. We have had success in Japan and Hong Kong. We will have success in Taiwan and Korea. We will find a way to bring this to the Philippines and Thailand. And ultimately, I genuinely believe Ashley Madison will be available to anyone in Singapore who wants to access it. I really believe that.”

And:

Ashley Madison is in this sense “the ultimate capitalist business,” he explains, operating on the very edge of what’s acceptable in a market economy. “Traditional

businesses are slow to react to controversy. I make a profit from it.”

According Biderman humans are not hardwired toward monogamy:

“There’s no biologist who will say we’re engineered for monogamy. After six months into a relationship, sexual attraction begins to decline. After 22 years, it’s virtually non-existent. But we don’t have the courage to say, ‘I think monogamy is really holding us back, we could have a much better marriage if we allowed each other to have sex with other people’.”

In the case of Sub-Saharan Africans, and arguably his own people, this is true. But for East Asians and Europeans monogamy is a biological trait because evolving in cold climes means partnership and co-operation in child rearing. Obviously, Biderman or any advocate of liberalism will say “but nobody is forcing your wife to cheat” and this is true. But as noted above, when the world is flooded with porn and feminism, when toxic leftist ideals maintaining that monogamy is oppressive are advanced, and when traditional marriage is targeted for destruction via raising homosexuality to its equal—all of which have been promoted by Biderman’s people in politics and media—then the “free will” argument is somewhat less deserving of respect.



Of course, Biderman is happily married and does not intend to break his wife's heart as he encourages other men to do: "if you have children that you love and a home that you built together and a future that you planned — why would you give that up just for sex?"

In the equally Jewish-dominated areas of race, immigration, and national identity, the meme to describe Jewish hypocrisy goes "nationalism for me but not for thee"

In the realms of dating and cheating and undermining traditional relationships it could go "secure family and life-long love for me, isolation, broken homes, broken hearts and STD's for thee."

Jeremy Corbyn and The Jewish Question

August 2015



It goes without saying that a far-left Marxist such as Jeremy Corbyn is no friend to white people, in any sense. According to Corbyn:

My constituency has people from probably 70 different countries living there, a very large number of languages.

People understand the difference of cultures, and I think children growing up in a multicultural society have a very good understanding of the rest of the world.

However, like so many leftists Corbyn does not believe *all* people should be ethnically cleansed and replaced in their own lands: Corbyn and the far left would not batter an eyelid if England became as ethnically black as Sudan, but they do care about Palestinians, and they do tend to see Israel as an illegitimate outpost of the Western capitalist hegemony.

And so elements on the left are inadvertently wandering into the electrified fence which contains public discourse. Jeremy Corbyn is alleged to have given cash to Holocaust deniers, he's called pro-terror Muslim groups "my friends", and he could very well become the Leader of the Labour Party. When "populism" is wandering this far off the grid, the electric fence is dispensed with and the cultural gatekeepers rush in and attempt to put the rogue cattle down with a captive bolt. On the right we saw this same story play out recently with UKIP, and now we see it again with Corbyn as our gloriously "free press" line up as one to douse the flames of public dissent.

Jewish writer Nick Cohen at the Spectator sets the tone:

I am putting it as politely as I can when I say we had limited support from mainstream commentators and politicians of the centre left. They didn't want to criticise 'our side'. They didn't want to admit that racism (in its anti-Semitic form) was as much a problem for the left as the right. They didn't want to puncture the phoney air of righteousness which surrounds left-wing politics like a cloying perfume, or 'distract' themselves from the fight against the Tories

They didn't want to know. Well they know now.

Within the British media Breitbart London likes to pass itself off as an edgy non-PC outlet, and to be fair they do push the boundaries on some subjects: they were good on the mass rape of white girls by Pakistanis. But in the end they are just another Jewish run neocon outfit and their reaction to the Corbyn problem was always going to be predictable. As James Delingpole proves in his piece "Some of Jeremy Corbyn's Friends Are Not Jewish":

Anti-semitism is bang on trend in left-wing circles these days. A friend who attended a dinner party in the company of various Labour grandes – including a former senior minister from the Blair era – reports that the hatred towards Jews in general and Israel in particular was so blatant it was like attending an editorial meeting of *Der Stürmer*.

You might expect the mainstream left's reaction to these Nazi slurs to be damning; after all, what is the point of having a left and right if they

both shut down unorthodox opinion? Unfortunately, gay Marxist Owen Jones is getting on board, writing at the Guardian:

If you stand on platform 17 of Berlin-Grunewald railway station, the horror of antisemitism never leaves you. I stood there with my friend, silently trying to fathom what befell his grandmother's relatives as they were deported to Latvia, where they would end up gunned down in forests...

I write this in a cafe full of laughing customers, cheesy music blaring in the background. A platform full of families with screaming children being squeezed together in unbearable conditions, on their way to be massacred and buried anonymously in mass graves, is a nightmarish parallel universe beyond my comprehension. But it happened. And it wasn't some mid-20th century aberration that came out of nowhere, a bafflingly horrific episode in human history resulting from sudden mass insanity. This was the culmination of hundreds of years of antisemitism: pogroms, blood libel, scapegoating.

The ever hysterical Dan Hodges writing at the Daily Telegraph agrees:

The Labour party used to be clear on this stuff. Zero-tolerance of racism. Zero-tolerance of apologists for racism. No platform for racism.

And now that's gone. It's all gone. Holocaust deniers. Blood Libelers. Anti-semitic conspiracy theorists. Terrorist sympathisers. Terrorists. We are Labour. How wide and how high would you like your platform to be?

I have been one of the Labour Party's fiercest critics. But I never thought I'd see this day: the day Labour started to launder prejudice. The day its commitment to standing against all forms of bigotry was so casually slaughtered on the altar of political ideology and expediency.

Soon Jeremy Corbyn will become Labour leader. When he does, his supporters will cheer his victory. And Paul Eisen and Stephen Sizer and Raed Salah and Dyab Abou Jahjah will pause a while from Holocaust denial, and conspiracy theories and Blood Libel and dreams of dead British soldiers. And they will stand at the very top of their platforms. And they will cheer his victory too.

UK Media Watch
PROMOTING FAIR AND ACCURATE COVERAGE OF ISRAEL

FRIDAY, AUGUST 28TH, 2015 [Twitter](#) [Facebook](#)

MWUK Media Watch
Formerly **CIP Watch**

THE INDEPENDENT
The Economist
the guardian
The Telegraph
FINANCIAL TIMES
THE TIMES

[ABOUT US](#) | [ARTISEMITISM](#) | [CONTACT US](#) | [EDITORS' CODE OF PRACTICE](#) | [IN THEIR OWN WORDS](#) | [TAKE ACTION](#)
[UK MEDIA WATCH EDITORS](#)

Labour MP, John Mann, went further still, demanding that the leadership elections of his own party be shut down because:

“It should be halted,” he said. “It is becoming a farce with long-standing members ... in danger of getting trumped by people who have opposed the Labour Party and want to break it up, expressly want to break it up - some of it is the Militant Tendency types coming back in.”

And who is John Mann you ask? well:

John Mann chairs the All-Party Parliamentary Group against Antisemitism. The Group commissioned the All-Party Parliamentary Inquiry into Antisemitism in 2005. The inquiry panel, chaired by former Europe Minister Denis MacShane, gathered written and oral evidence on antisemitism in Britain and published a report of their findings on 7 September 2006. The panel's recommendations included improved reporting and recording of antisemitic attacks; a crackdown on anti-Jewish activity on university campuses; and improved international co-operation to prevent the spread of racist material online. In May 2009 John Mann received the American Jewish Committee's Jan Karski Award in recognition of his commitment to fighting antisemitism in all of its forms.




Sitting out in the badlands of the “far right” and looking across into the distance at this spectacle is both highly amusing and informative. All of the tactics which the left have deployed against the “far right” are now being turned around onto themselves. The “no platform” mantras, the endless Holo-guilt, the absurdly hysterical reaction of the controlled press. The difference is, we know who the man behind the curtain is; the left seem to have stumbled into him while still blind folded. In his Breitbart piece James Delingpole concluded with, “basically, if you don’t believe in free market capitalism, then the Jews are an affront to human decency.”

That’s an interesting admission, but it could equally be said that if you are opposed to dispossessing people from their lands, mass population transfers, permanent debt based economies, a controlled press and the warping of the entire political process to suit the interests of one ethnic group, then yes, the Jews are an affront to human decency.

Resisting The Chosenite BBC

September 2015



Jewish scamster Alan Yentob was back in the news this week, fresh from the “Kids Company” operation which saw hundreds of millions of pounds bled out of the public purse and retarded liberal celebrities. Yentob is under scrutiny yet again for taking BBC license fee payers to the cleaners for another £8 Million to fund yet another pet project. This time the scam involves a new BBC “Digital Arts” project which is described as “exciting”. We’ll get back to that later...

I don’t usually indulge in personal anecdotes but this is an exception. For at least five years I have flatly refused to pay the BBC any money whatsoever. I’d love nothing more than to see it descend into bankruptcy and disappear completely. After all, the corporation despises me and everything I hold dear.



Trust Us With Your Media, Or Else

Jewish boss of programming Danny Cohen openly campaigns to have fewer white people appearing on the BBC, and I'm expected to be coerced into paying for an organization, run by Jews, who want to replace my people. BBC News is headed by another Jew, James Harding; this is the news which insists on reporting everything relating to children from an inner city London slum so that the audience are left with the impression that non-white majorities are the norm and that this is just fine. This is the organization which replaces "Muslim terrorist" with the gentler, non-judgmental sounding "militant".

And this is the organization which sends people to knock on my door and demand to know why I'm not handing over money for this filth. The last time was about five weeks ago. A middle aged white man stood before me as I opened the door. The conversation went something like this:

Him: Hello there, got the television on, have we?

Me: No, I rarely watch it, are you from the BBC? I'm not paying for Cultural Marxist shit!

Him: You are required by law to have a TV license, how long have you had the television?

Me: About four years, I rarely use it. How did you get this job? Have you any idea that the BBC hates us? Doesn't political correctness drive you mad? For f**** sake man! The BBC is evil!

Him: (Deep sigh). Look mate, I f***** hate this job, I'm sick of my bastard life! It pays pennies I have people like you screaming in my face for eight hours a day. What am I supposed to do? Go home and tell my wife I jacked in my job because the BBC is full of lefty wankers?

Suddenly I understood, I was berating one of my own, a working class white man just trying to earn a crust in a country whose institutions and media and political figures loathe him. My attitude softened, I gave him a number of a place I'd heard were taking on. The early days of the Soviet Union must have been something like this, albeit infinitely more deadly and barbaric, ethnic Russians forced to strong-arm and harass other Russians on behalf of the Jewish commissars far away.

So what were the fruits of all of this? Was it worth it? The BBC signed off on £8,000,000 worth of license-payer fees to fund this new "Digital Arts" wheeze; the BBC license fee is £145 per year, so that means 55,172 people's license fee money for last year went on Yentob's latest hoax. Was it worth it? What artistic wonders have 55,172 people paid for? Let's take a look at the "digital arts":

Exhibit A is a half-caste dancer of some sort called "FKA twigs". This is probably the best of the three.

Exhibit B are called "Top Goon Reloaded" and are Syrian immigrants who do puppet shows. I lasted 1 minute.

Exhibit C, I saved the best for last. This is Tuulikki and she "explores bird song in Gaelic" and her masterpiece is called "red bird red bird".

Thanks, once again, Jews.

If seeing your people robbed of £145 to pay for a Muslim Punch and Judy Show, a Mulatto break dancer, and a lunatic in a field gets you down, then fear not, perhaps all is not lost. I'm delighted to report I never did hear back from the BBC after five weeks. Could it be my fellow white man threw my details into the trash and went to get a new job? Could we be beginning to thinking conspiratorially and forging a mild resistance?

Let us hope so.

Appendix A (from Agenda™ monitor Tony Hayers)

JEW Danny Cohen: Director of BBC Television , previously Controller of BBC1. (Married to JEW Noreena Hertz)

JEW Alan Yentob BBC Creative Director ,previously Controller of BBC1 and BBC2

JEW James Harding , BBC Head Of News and Current Affairs

JEW Anthony Reuben Head Of Statistics

JEW Ian Katz Editor, "Newsnight",previously Dep.Ed. "The Guardian" (Current Guardian editor: JEW Katherine Viner , JEW Jonathan Freedland)

JEW Emily Maitliss, presenter, "Newsnight"

JEW Robert Peston ,presenter "Newsnight" and BBC Economics/Business Editor

JEW Jon Sopel, presenter, "Newsnight", and BBC Washington Editor

JEW Katya Adler , Europe Editor

Correspondents /reporters /editors, "Newsnight" and other BBC News Progs:

JEW David Shukman: Science

JEW Joshua Rozenberg: Law (Husband of Melanie Phillips)

JEW Steve Rosenberg: Moscow /USSR

JEW David Stern: Ukraine

JEW Will Gompertz: Arts and Culture

JEW Simon Gompertz, Personal Finance

JEW Martin Lewis "Money-Saving Expert"

JEW Suzy Klein: Classical Music

JEW Tim Samuels: Investigative Reports / Correspondent
JEW Simon Schama (Historian, Haberdashers' Aske's)
JEW Simon Sebag-Montefiore: History
JEW Jo Coburn: presenter , "Daily Politics"
JEW Jenny Abramsky ,former Director Of BBC Radio,former BBC Director Of Audio and Music,
JEW Mark Damazer , former Radio 4 Controller, former "Newsnight" editor. Former Head Of political Programs, Former Head of News programs,former Head of Current Affairs,Former Director of BBC News
JEW Caroline Raphael Former Commissioning Editor Comedy and Fiction, Radio 4
Former BBC radio head of drama, etc
JEW Tony Hayers, fictional former Chief Commissioning Editor, BBC Programmes.
Presenters , Pundits, Performers, Directors, Writers etc
JEW (Homosexual) Stephen Fry
JEW (Homosexual) Matt Lucas (Haberdashers' Aske's)
JEW (Homosexual) Dale Winton
JEW (Lesbian) Sue Perkins
JEW Claudia Winkleman
JEW Eve Pollard (Mother of Claudia Winkleman)
JEW Gaby Roslin
JEW Clive Roslin (Father of Gaby Roslin)
JEW Alan Dein (Guardian, R4, etc)
JEW Naomi Alderman (R4, Guardian, etc)
JEW Tracey Anne Oberman
JEW Maureen Lipman
JEW Jack Rosenthal (Deceased, husband of Maureen Lipman)
JEW Melanie Phillips: Daily Mail. etc (Wife of Joshua Rozenberg)
JEW Will Self (writer -Guardian etc)
JEW Howard Jacobson (writer-Independent, etc)
JEW Sir/Lord/Baron Robert Winston
JEW Emma Barnett (Columnist -Telegraph)
JEW Angela Epstein (Columnist:Telegraph ,etc)

JEW (Lord/Sir/Baron) Daniel Finkelstein (Editor-The Times)
JEW David Aaronovitch (Columnist -The Times , etc)
JEW David Baddiel (Columnist -The Guardian, etc
Haberdashers' Aske's)
JEW Ivor Baddiel (Brother of David Baddiel, Haberdashers' Aske's)
JEW Micheal Rosen (children's laureate)
JEW Jay Rayner -Food/Cuisine /Observer ,Guardian, etc .
(Haberdashers' Aske's)
JEW Claire Rayner (Deceased, mother of Jay Rayner)
JEW Peter Kelner (President of YouGov, former Newsnight political
analyst) Sunday Times, Independent ,Observer, etc Haberdashers'
Aske's)
JEW Victoria Coren
JEW Giles Coren: Times / Independent, etc
JEW Alan Coren (Deceased, father of Giles & Victoria)
JEW Ruby Wax
JEW Ben Elton
JEW Richard Curtis (Married to Emma Freud)
JEW Emma Freud (Married to Richard Curtis)
JEW Alice Levine
JEW Adam Richman
JEW Dave Berry
JEW Vanesa Feltz
JEW Jeni Barnett
JEW Miriam Stoppard (Former wife of Tom Stoppard)
JEW Tom Stoppard (Former husband of Miriam Stoppard)
JEW Mark Steele
JEW Peter Kosminsky (Haberdashers' Aske's)
JEW Gaynor Faye
JEW Yvonne Francas
JEW Kay Mellor (Mother of Gaynor Faye & Yvonne Francas)
JEW Jim Rosenthal
JEW Tom Rosenthal (Son of Jim Rosenthal)
JEW Dan Swimer
JEW Robert Popper
JEW Jonathan Freedland

JEW Neil Gaiman
JEW Simon Amstell
JEW Adrian Goldberg
JEW Mike Mendoza
JEW Jonny Gould
JEW Andy Goldstein
JEW Andy Jacobs
JEW Murray Gold
JEW Rebecca Wilcox (daughter of Esther Rantzen)
JEW Esther Rantzen
JEW Tim Franks
JEW David Elstein
JEW Josh Widdicombe
JEW Andy Zaltzman
JEW Helen Zaltzman

An honourable mention for Laura Kuennsberg BBC Chief Political Editor (some Jewish ancestry). She replaced JEW Nick Robinson, who, in turn, replaced JEW John Sergeant who like Robinson was a former Chief Political Editor at IT.

Jewish population of Great Britain is around 263,346

85 names of people alive and currently or recently working in BBC TV/radio. That turns out to be 1 out of every 3,098 jews in the UK. (The list is far from complete, of course.)

Since white British people outnumber Jews by at least 200 to 1, to get a comparable representation of white British people in the same strategic/on-air/editorial/writing functions there would need to be at least 17,000 of them. The BBC only employs 18,900 people in total in all capacities!

(Of course many presenters/writers etc. are not employees so the comparison is fuzzy.)

Zionist MP Spits In The Face Of The White British

November 2015

The online petition seems a great idea in theory: if a petition garners enough support from the public over a given issue it can then be put before the politicians and the issue debated and, perhaps, resolved.

Its actual purpose is to give the plebs a feeling of power and control over their masters which they do not have. And when your masters consist of people such as the loathsome Zionist Jew, Richard Harrington, you can pretty much guarantee your needs and concerns will be met with a repulsive, sneering contempt.

The petition process works thus. If a petition gets 10,000 signatures the government will respond; if a petition gets 100,000 signatures it will be considered for debate in Parliament. The anti-immigration petition in question gained over 200,000 signatures but, alas, as Conservative Friend of Israel Richard informs us, the debate amounted to the MPs thinking of it as “offensive” and roundly ignoring its sentiment. Here the petition:

The UK government need to prevent immigrants from entering the UK immediately! We MUST close all borders, and prevent more immigrants from entering Britain. Foreign citizens are taking all our benefits, costing the government millions! Many of them are trying to change UK into a Muslim country!

If the Government does not do anything, then Britain may take in 12 million more immigrants by 2060. There is footage of foreigners destroying British soldiers graves, which is a huge disrespect to us. Sign this petition to show the government what they need to do!



“I find it offensive to say we are turning the UK into a Muslim State”

And here is the government's long-winded and utterly vacuous response:

We are building an immigration system that works in the public interest. We welcome the brightest and the best who come here legally to work and study, but believe net migration should be lower and are clamping down on illegal migration, including through the Immigration Bill. We will also introduce a new approach to asylum - with strict new rules for people who abuse the system, and greater generosity for people who need our help.

The UK has a proud history of protecting those most in need. As the Prime Minister announced to Parliament at the beginning of last month, the UK will accept a further 20,000 migrants dislocated from their homes following the Syrian crisis. The UK can be proud that since the start of that conflict we have been at the forefront of the humanitarian response. We are providing more than £1 billion in aid – making us the second biggest bilateral donor in the world. Our contribution is almost as much as the rest of the EU put together. We can be proud of our contribution and our history of valuing diversity in the UK.

Uncontrolled immigration, however, places pressure on public services, the labour market and wages.

To control legal migration we have changed the profile of migrants coming here to work and study from outside the EU, to ensure that we are genuinely welcoming the brightest and the best. The figures show this strategy is working, with a 17% increase in the number of sponsored student visas applications for universities since 2010, and a rise of 33% for the elite Russell Group universities. We have toughened access to welfare and housing and non-EU immigration remains 10% lower than in September 2010. Going forward, our long term economic plan will see many more young Britons given the training and skills they need to fill the jobs our booming economy is creating.

In terms of illegal migration one of the most visible pressure points has been at Calais. Over the summer the migrant population in this area increased to around 5,000 and there was an associated increase in the number of illegal attempts to breach the border.

The Government has made good progress with the French Government to address this situation. The declaration the Home Secretary signed with the French Interior Minister, on 20th August, cements a comprehensive programme of work between France and the UK including additional French police, and extra fencing, search dogs and other security measures provided by the UK.

The Government is also taking action through a new Immigration Bill that was introduced to Parliament on 17th September.

The Government is introducing new powers to build an immigration system that works in the best interests of the UK and those who play by the rules
Illegal workers will face having their earnings seized, while rogue employers could have their businesses closed.

The Bill will make it harder for people to settle in the UK when they have no right to do so, building on the Immigration Act 2014 to further restrict access to services for illegal migrants. Landlords will be able to evict illegal migrants more quickly and access to driving licences and bank accounts will be further protected as services for only the lawfully resident population.

The Bill will close existing loopholes that allow certain illegal migrants to claim asylum support even though they do not have a pending asylum application or appeal. We will continue to meet all of our international obligations towards

asylum seekers and refugees, but equally we expect other illegal migrants to depart from the UK rather than providing access to support.

The Bill will make it easier to remove people who should not be in the UK by introducing new powers to tag foreign national offenders released on bail, and extending “deport now, appeal later” certification powers to more immigration cases. We will also be equipping immigration officers with additional search and seizure powers to better enforce our immigration laws.

Finally, as the Home Secretary set out earlier this month, we will introduce a new approach to asylum with strict new rules for people who abuse the system in Britain, and greater generosity for people in parts of the world where we know they need our help. For example, we will take a tougher approach to those who claim asylum after abusing the visa system or having travelled to get here through safe countries but we will establish a register of people and organisations that can provide houses for the settlement of genuine refugees.

Home Office

As a Jewish MP and “Friend Of Israel”, Richard Harrington has spent his career twisting the arms of bureaucrats and governmental departments ensuring that the Jews and Israel are catered to before any other people. Harrington at once completely rejects an obvious plea for help from the native British whilst then boring the House to tears with his Jewish roots and history. As a white British person it is simply ghastly to see this reptile spit on the future of your people like this. Harrington’s website features a section called “Parliament” which details what Harrington is busying himself with as a politician. The first page reveals:

[Refugees: Syria | Home Office | Written Answers](#) ↗

We will not be giving a running commentary on numbers. The refugees that we are bringing to the United Kingdom are very vulnerable people. Our prime concern is their safety and protection as...

[Syrian Refugees | Oral Answers to Questions - Home Department | Commons debates](#) ↗

The Government have committed to resettling 20,000 Syrian refugees in the lifetime of this Parliament. The Prime Minister has said that we want to see 1,000 brought to the UK by Christmas. We...

[Syrian Refugees | Oral Answers to Questions - Home Department | Commons debates](#) ↗

The hon. Gentleman will be fully aware that our taking 20,000 refugees on the grounds of vulnerability is only a part of our efforts for refugees. The Government are spending more than £1.1...

[Syrian Refugees | Oral Answers to Questions - Home Department | Commons debates](#) ↗

My hon. Friend makes a very good point; I could not have put it better myself.

[Refugees: Syria | Home Office | Written Answers](#) ↗

Holding answer received on 10 November 2015 The Government has committed to resettling 20,000 Syrian refugees in the lifetime of this Parliament. The Prime Minister has said that we...

[Syria: Refugees | Home Office | Written Answers](#) ↗

We recognise that the scaling up of the Vulnerable Persons Relocation scheme is placing additional demands on UNHCR and have offered them additional support in the form of funding, equipment and...

[Refugees: Syria | Home Office | Written Answers](#) ↗

We do not have reliable statistics on this at this stage. We are quickly working our way through the referrals received from UNHCR. It is too early to say how many will become arrivals into the...

[Refugees: Syria | Home Office | Written Answers](#) ↗

The Government has committed to resettling 20,000 Syrian refugees in the lifetime of this Parliament. The Prime Minister has said that we want to see 1,000 refugees brought to the UK by...

[Refugees: Syria | Home Office | Written Answers](#) ↗

Of course, this could have been the topic of that week, so I jumped seven pages further back; it's a slow moving site and this equates to several months of parliamentary activity. And this is what you will find there:

[Refugee Crisis | Oral Answers to Questions - Home Department | Commons debates](#)

The Government are working closely with the authorities in Calais to make sure that this does not happen.

[Refugee Crisis | Oral Answers to Questions - Home Department | Commons debates](#)

The family reunion scheme has been used successfully in the past, and it is still being used. In addition, we have the vulnerability criteria of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees....

[Refugee Crisis | Oral Answers to Questions - Home Department | Commons debates](#)

As my right hon. Friend the Prime Minister announced on 7 September, the Government will expand existing resettlement schemes to resettle up to 20,000 Syrians in need of protection. In the past...

[Refugee Crisis | Oral Answers to Questions - Home Department | Commons debates](#)

I listened to that interview carefully; the person concerned was asked what they did think was adequate and was not able to answer. I hope that listeners to the programme and people who have read...

[Refugees | Home Office | Written Answers](#)

The operation of the UK's resettlement schemes, including the Syrian Vulnerable Persons Scheme, involves the UNHCR submitting potential cases for our consideration. The cases are then...

[*No heading* | Home Office | Written Answers](#)

Our refugee family reunion policy is intended to allow immediate family members, that is, spouse or partner and children under the age of 18, who formed part of the family unit at the time their...

[Females: Crimes of Violence | Home Office | Written Answers](#)

The United Kingdom has some of the strongest protections in the world to safeguard women and girls and the Government is wholly committed to protecting women and girls from violence and...

[Refugees | Home Office | Written Answers](#)

There are no current plans to undertake an assessment of the contribution of refugees to the UK economy. In September 2014, the Home Office published a report on the labour market...


[Refugees: Syria | Home Office | Written Answers](#)

As the Prime Minister announced on 7 September, the Government will expand existing resettlement schemes to resettle 20,000 Syrians in need of protection during this Parliament. This is in...

[Refugees: Syria | Home Office | Written Answers](#)

In Q2 2015 (April to June – the latest period for which figures are available) no transit visas have been issued to Syrian refugees.


Yet another petition is now pending and, as of writing, already has 440,000 signatures. An infomap details where people are signing it, and there's a clear and obvious correlation between areas being "enriched" and those areas who are still to be blessed by the Third World:



It's easy to forget that the areas of the infographic represent actual people, people who have desperately tweeted, Facebooked, e-mailed and text-messaged the new petition: "look, we still have a chance, just sign this!!" They have seen changes to their "communities" which would have horrified and appalled their grandparents, and their grandchildren will be in many cases on the way to becoming ethnic minorities in their own lands. They watch the news on TV and see Muslims mass murdering their European cousins, they know of the grooming gangs and random rapes and muggings and beatings, and

they know the situation is only going to get exponentially worse. The petition represents hope, a last gasp at halting the tide before they are erased completely. And then when they finally manage to push it into the gaze of the government they are insulted, lied to, and called “insensitive” by a Zionist Jew who would never contemplate subjecting Jews in Israel to this abominable state.

We are trapped under ice.



Part V

Narratives

Re-Configuring The Narrative: the “Refugee” Crisis

September 2015



The annual summer invasion of Europe by brown and black people got off to a decent start for normal Europeans, as far as the media coverage was concerned. As always we had the Jews rolling out the Holo-guilt in their media, the liberal left looked even more moronic than usual as their “starving children” were obviously just hundreds of thousands of fighting-age men from the Third World, and because no sane civilization has ever welcomed hundreds of thousands of racially alien men of fighting age into the bosom of their homeland, the liberal left were largely ignored.

David Cameron tried flexing his right-wing hard man credentials by using the term “swarm” to describe the swarm and there were mutterings about how these people would fundamentally change Europe.



Week after week we were allowed to witness aggressive young blacks and Arabs literally forcing their way into Europe, climbing fences, fighting with border police, and running through the channel tunnel. There was a sense among some that perhaps this was it, perhaps the globalist machine was running too quickly and at some point a washer would work itself loose and Europeans would finally be able to address their impending dispossession freely; the boiling frog would jump out of the pan.

And then a boy from Syria, Aylan Kudri, tragically drowned at sea and his body washed up on the Turkish shore, and the media howled. The tone of the narrative changed directions so swiftly many are probably suffering from whiplash.



In Britain, and presumably the rest of Europe, sane people had argued that muscular young Africans holding iPhones are not the type of people who are fleeing persecution, and even if they were, it's high time we took care of ourselves. But now the media masters did a mid-air back flip and those same sane people could be emotionally blackmailed. All across the bars and offices and works canteens, anyone objecting could be called a vile Nazi who liked seeing dead children on beaches. And that is that.



Liberal emotional hysterics trump conservative rationality every time, and the elites know it. As noted above, the bleeding hearts had been denied a sugar coated emo-trip throughout this summer's invasion, but the dead Syrian boy was handed to them to satiate their blood-lust, their episode of morally superior cold turkey was about to be ended. Why? It is of course tragic that a boy dies and is washed up on the shore in this manner, but he was Syrian, living in Turkey, and washed up on a Turkish beach. Yet this was a source of shame for Europe. Why?

The media, politics and money power are as one on the issue of European people being replaced as fast as possible with non-European peoples. This is the “thesis”. The natural reaction of Europeans to being replaced is to reject it; this is the “antithesis”. Originally Prime Minister David Cameron had refused to allow “refugees” to settle in Britain but now, because the photo of the dead Syrian boy has been grossly propagandized and used to stir up the liberal left into a frenzy, he’s “buckled” under the pressure and thus the “synthesis” has been achieved, the intended goal reached, to a certain degree.

Of course, the retards of the liberal left also gain from this. They can carry on believing that they have spoken truth to power. In their infantile brains David Cameron represents a stern white conservative patriarch. They are in the situation of saying “oh please daddy, let me have the brown immigrant pony, pretty please you monster!”

In reality the stern patriarch David Cameron is a shill for Jewry and the money power and has already rammed homo marriage and 4,000,000 immigrants down the throats of middle England against their will, but the truth doesn’t matter, reality doesn’t matter, that all of the middle east and Israel flatly refuse to allow hordes into their countries does not matter. What matters is that Europeans be erased as majorities in their own countries and that the discourse used to achieve this deploys the sugar- and syrup-coated faux morality of the suicidal liberal left to out-screech the slight objections of the still sane Europeans.

We are living in an “unreality”.

The Black Narrative

November 2015



The only area within public discourse which comes anywhere near the Holocaust in terms of tormenting white people with guilt and shame is the supposed treatment of Africans—blacks—by Europeans. If the Holocaust is the Death Star of white guilt then colonialism and slavery is the Star Destroyer protecting its flank. It's almost as if it was designed to be that way, and indeed it was, springing from the same Jewish minds that gave us every other anti-white narrative.

This is evident by the fact that Arabs enslaved six million more Africans than Europeans but are not held to account for any of it, including the castration of African males. Neither do we get to hear the blacks whine about the Jews who actually owned the slave ships. The negro mind has been twisted and filled with half-truths and outright lies. It is war by proxy. And on closer inspection it makes almost no sense whatsoever. It's interesting to note that ideas born in the Jewish mind, likely with an IQ of 120, have been passed down to Africans with an IQ of perhaps 75. And so whereas the Jew is capable of a critique of European man which traces a supposedly clear anti-Semitic path from Beethoven, Wagner, and European romanticism in general to the

lampshade and soap factories of National Socialism, the African mind can simply repeat the same basic tenets of the anti-white dogma he has been handed. And so colonialism and slavery become a catchall for every problem the negro has ever had to face, a reason to explain away any failing or any lack of “equality”.



Africa Before The White Man

The basic narrative runs thus: Once upon a time, Africa was a harmonious and spiritual nirvana where blacks were in touch with nature and spent their time exploring the great mysteries of the universe. They had a highly advanced culture (so advanced they didn't have the written word). However, despite their advanced society, they were helpless when Europeans arrived and started enslaving and raping them and, presumably, dismantling their high culture and then stealing all of the resources contained within the African soil. The shock to the passive African soul has been so monumentally destructive that Africans, or blacks anywhere, have never recovered and now carry themselves as unintelligent and aggressive semi-retards. The black man now has a moral right to live among the white

man and take what's his, all the while claiming to be oppressed by the white man, in the white man's land, in which he has chosen to live, as payback for emptying Africa of its wealth.

There's a problem with that, however. According to Wikipedia:


The continent is believed to hold 90% of the world's cobalt, 90% of its platinum, 50% of its gold, 98% of its chromium, 70% of its tantalite, 64% of its manganese and one-third of its uranium. The Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) has 70% of the world's coltan, a mineral used in the production of tantalum capacitors for electronic devices such as cell phones. The DRC also has more than 30% of the world's diamond reserves. Guinea is the world's largest exporter of bauxite.

And:

African mineral reserves rank first or second for bauxite, cobalt, diamonds, phosphate rocks, platinum-group metals (PGM), vermiculite, and zirconium. Many other minerals are present in quantity. The 2005 share of world production from African soil was bauxite 9%; aluminium 5%; chromite 44%; cobalt 57%; copper 5%; gold 21%; iron ore 4%; steel 2%; lead (Pb) 3%; manganese 39%; zinc 2%; cement 4%; natural diamond 46%; graphite 2%; phosphate rock 31%; coal 5%; mineral fuels (including coal) & petroleum 13%; uranium 16%.

Presumably the reason Africa remains a poverty stricken dump is because of the genocide of the African people carried out by the white man. Except:

Population of Africa and Europe (1800-2100)




So despite having enormous natural wealth, and the world's youngest demographic profile, Africans are still utterly unable to get the wealth out of the ground and begin to get their continent in order. But then again, barbarity and sporadic mass slaughter is simply the African way. To pick one of literally thousands of examples of meaningless savagery, a refugee crisis ensued in the Congo when ten men tried to go fishing in another people's fishing pond:

According to Congolese government spokesman Lambert Mende, a certain Edo Bokoto, who had been suspended from his post of sector chief, mobilized about 10 men from his community who wanted to take control of fish ponds which belong to people from Enyele and Monzaya villages. They started to attack people from outside their community and seven policemen who intervened in the fighting were killed. The Lobalas of Enyele attacked those of Monzaya. By 5 November 2009 at least 16,000 civilians had fled to the neighbouring Republic of the Congo (ROC) and Dongo had become a ghost town.

The conflict rapidly escalated with one commander deploying the interesting battlefield tactic of magical sword:

By this point, the violence had become a full-scale insurgency by an alliance calling themselves the “Resistance Patriots of Dongo” (Patriotes-Résistants de Dongo). The group is said to be led by an animist priest called Udjani, who claims to have a magical sword that can poison people and pass its powers to the curved machetes wielded by many of his followers.

Just remember, white man: you made them like this.




Free of the white Demon the mythical African high civilization mysteriously failed to reappear

Various NGOs and world bodies, having become exasperated by African incompetence, have invented yet another excuse for the insanity of the continent: they call it “resource curse”. Within this narrative a poor economy, or people, who are blessed with a massive and lucrative natural resource such as gold or copper, will then fight

and slaughter each other over that resource. The War of the Dongo Fishing Pond is an example of "resource curse".

That all seems fair enough, something a leftist can really run with. Unsurprisingly, however, this too fails when one is disallowed from mentioning racial differences, because the problem with the resource curse thesis is what is known as "The Norwegian Paradox". Like many African countries, Norway is entirely dependent on a few natural resources, namely timber, fish and oil. But Norwegians do not carry magical swords into battle against other Norwegians trying to stake a claim to the wealth of the nation. This, in an egalitarian World Order which cannot name racial differences, is a "Paradox".

Norway is interesting in other aspects of the black narrative because Europeans are expected to believe we must allow blacks to live among us because of the "legacy of colonialism"; whether or not a given European people even had any dealings with Africa is beside the point, it would seem.



Norway's Vast African Empire

When a Norwegian girl is gang-raped by Africans in Oslo the excuse "we are here because you went there" cannot possibly be valid. But it

hardly matters. Like the Holocaust narrative it has become a blood libel on all white people. Jews and blacks are the only people on earth who get to walk around as automatic victims of Europeans—and Europeans get to walk around stigmatized as evil oppressors.

Within European societies we now have thousands of black activist groups agitating for their own interests against those of Europeans. Yet when you put it to them that they can return to Africa they become horrified at the prospect. The situation is one in which blacks, at the behest of traitorous governments, are allowed to impose themselves upon us while simultaneously claiming to be victims of us, both in the past and now. It is the parasite claiming to be the victim of the host.



Tonight, On Global Village TV...

November 2015

[Editor's note: this article was written in the wake of a series of coordinated Muslim terror attacks in France on 13 November 2015. 130 people were killed, including 90 at the Bataclan theatre during a concert.]



As Parisian cleaners mop up the blood of French people massacred by the enrichment once again, the same Europeans who touted their right-on liberal credentials with rainbow flags for homo marriage, and howled over the injustice of the dead beach boy, have now, once again, managed to click a few hashtags and fiddle with their tablets and iPhones long enough to conjure up a tricolor flag to show "solidarity" with their fellow Europeans shot, blown up, and throat-slit

to death by the hulking psychopathic Muslims they thought were merely seven-year-old shark bait.

These are the people Julius Evola regarded as “sacks of potatoes”. They form the great mass of the population and are what both the left and “far right” are fighting over, and always have been. Right now they sit slowly stewing in the multicultural pot trying to acclimatize to the latest addition of spice, which is being mass murdered.

The reaction of the Nationalist right has been one of *“For Christsakes, do you get it now!!!!”* Because despite it all, we love these potatoes and want to create a world in which they are no longer baked alive. The reaction of the liberal left has been somewhat different.

Voices

Got a French flag on your Facebook profile picture? Congratulations on your corporate white supremacy

Paint-by-numbers solidarity when it's foisted on you by one of the most powerful companies in the world is simply not the way to help a traumatised nation in shock after murder

Lulu Nunn | 3 hours ago | 



Customize Your Profile Picture 

The Independent article whose headline is seen above continues:

It's a dismaying and damaging truth that Westerners care about and empathise with images of white-skinned women grieving in Topshop bobble hats far more than brown-skinned women grieving in niqabs and, when you lend your voice to Euro-centric campaigns such as Facebook's flag filter, you exacerbate this. When we buy into such easy corporate public mourning, we uphold white supremacy. We're essentially saying that white, Western lives matter more than others.

Facebook of course is run by a Jew, Mark Zuckerberg, but we can only expect the liberal left's anti-establishment narrative to run so far. The “white Supremacy” in this case is Jewish, but that hardly matters

because that is a straw man, anyway. What they, the liberal left, are looking at here is the phenomenon of white people grieving over whites. The question being put to Europeans is thus “Why do you grieve for the French more than Lebanese or Nigerians or Afghans?” Despite the liberal left’s ideology being responsible for bringing the terror into Europe they are now, not only washing their hands of guilt, but asking why Europeans are showing more empathy with their fellow Europeans who have been butchered by the liberal left’s pets than with non-whites everywhere.

As I’ve written before, across the West there operates a vast mentally-tuned spiders’ web, and the slightest murmur of European ethnocentrism sets the web vibrating and the Cultural Marxist spider springs into life. To the non-racist European, to be asked “why do you grieve for the French more than Lebanese or Nigerians or Afghans?” is akin to being wrapped up in silk by the spider because the only truthful answer than can be given is because you sympathize more with other Europeans.



What, then, would our world actually be like if even to take interest in European affairs was deemed beyond the pale and “racist”, if we adopted an egalitarian attitude to reporting on world events? Is a girl raped in Mogadishu to receive the same amount of coverage as a girl raped in Leeds?

Millennial Woes explored this extreme universalism in a recent video. In Sweden (of course) a prosecution lawyer argued against returning a Somalian rapist to Somalia on the grounds that he would merely end up raping a Somalian woman instead of more Swedish women. In this

case universalism has reached such towering heights of insanity that the safety of the girl in Mogadishu supersedes the well-being of Swedish women, in the eyes of a (repulsive) Swedish “man”. The “Eurocentrism” displayed by the media is perhaps still a faint whiff of ethnocentrism still being allowed to white people. If the media were actually to begin reporting world affairs from a genuinely “global village” perspective wherein, say, the politics of Vietnam was supposed to be of equal interest to the Irish as British politics—or, eventually, Irish politics—then Europeans would no longer have any idea as to what was happening in their immediate surroundings.

The problem from the perspective of the Jewish media barons would be whites simply switching off and looking elsewhere for their news. Hence the massive over representation of non-whites in the media; the global village, non-Eurocentric goal is achieved from within, rather than externally.

As always, Europeans are the only group to be disappeared into the global village, Brotherhood of Man casserole. A quick glance at some random headlines from around the world confirms that other groups are not in the least bit coy when it comes to reporting about, and striving for, their own interests.

Mongolian Newspaper The UB Post reports:

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs announced that they will not be renewing the visa exemptions of 42 countries due to safety issues related to the ASEM Summit in 2016. The Mongolian government exempts entrance visas for passport holders from 42 countries. Citizens from these 42 countries, including Austria, Andorra, Argentina, Denmark, Great Britain, Iceland, Spain, and Italy, are allowed to travel for 30 days in Mongolia without a visa until December 31, 2015. The Ministry of Foreign Affairs has stated that it will not be renewing this policy due to safety concerns for the guests of next year’s ASEM Summit, which will be hosted in Ulaanbaatar.

In other words, countries which Mongolia thought as safe are now liable to have terrorists sneaking their way in; the chance is small but no sane country would take the risk.

Meanwhile in Indonesia the Jakarta Post reports:

Depok is being overwhelmed by the rising numbers of foreign immigrants, according to the city's immigration office, which has recorded that as of Nov. 19, there were 248 illegal foreign immigrants living in the city, with many suspected of involvement in crime.

In the Bangladeshi The Daily Ittefaq, the “One Love, One Humanity” squad take another gut punch:

Activists of Ganajagaran Manch are waiting in Shahbagh area for the execution of death-row war crimes convicts BNP leader Salauddin Quader Chowdhury and Jamaat-e-Islami leader Ali Ahsan Muhammad Mojahid. They gathered and started a sit-in in front of National Museum around 3pm and vowed to continue the programme until the two war crimes convicts are executed. Ganajagaran Manch spokesman Imran H Sarkar said they will end their sit-in only after the war crimes convicts are hanged. “We’re awaiting the execution by 12:01am and will end our programme through a procession to celebrate it. The nation will get rid of a 45-year-long stigma with the execution of the verdicts,” he said.

In Argentina the Buenos Aires Herald has an interview with a Jew, doing what Jews do best and taking care of Jews:

Q: In light of what is happening in Syria, the Paris attacks, and the nuclear deal with Iran, there has been some political rapprochement with Iran in the West. Iran is also strongly against the Islamic State (IS)...

A: Yes, against IS but it helps Hezbollah and Hamas. In the first place, we don't think that the nuclear agreement is complete. There are things that could happen in the future that we don't have information about. Ten years from now Iran could be on the threshold of building a nuclear bomb. For Israel it is a bigger threat, because in parallel Iran threatens the so-called “Zionist” entity in their own words, and which they want to remove from the map, and that Israel does not have a right to exist.

Q: Do you think that Saudi Arabia approves of, or promotes terrorism, or the contrary?

A: I don't know. Years ago, when I followed its politics, it appeared that Saudi Arabia was willing to pay organizations to leave the country. Now what is more interesting is the rivalry between Saudi Arabia and Iran for hegemony in the

Middle East. We are talking about a Sunni country and a Shiite country. And we have no problem with Saudi Arabia. The situation in the Middle East has changed dramatically in the last few years, since the Arab Spring — which no longer exists — perhaps it is a good time to look at, and there have only been a few articles about this, to look at the advantages of cooperation with Israel.

The Turks are being terribly Turkocentric by worrying that Russia will bomb Turks living in Syria. Surely they are just Syrians now? No?

Turkey warns Russia over strikes on Syria Turkmens

Ankara summons the Russian envoy to protest the bombing of a Turkmen area in northern Syria, while PM Davutoglu warns on civilian casualties

ANALYST

Turkey has expressed its fury at a recent bombing sortie in Syria that reportedly targeted a Turkmen village, summoning Moscow's ambassador to Ankara over the matter. "We underlined that the Russian side's actions bombing civilian Turkmen villages and not

fighting against terror may lead to serious consequences," Foreign Ministry spokesman Tanju Bilgic said after summoning Russian Ambassador Andrey Karlov.

"In recent days, there has been a much intensified attack against Syrian people, our Turkmen siblings, in the Bayrakli area. The massacres by the al-Assad regime are continuing," Turkish Prime

Minister Ahmet Davutoglu said Nov. 20. "We had contacts with Russia. First of all, we are against all kinds of attacks launched against civilian people," he said. "Nobody can legitimize massacres targeting our Turkmen, Arab and Kurdish siblings there via claiming to have been fighting against terror."

WARNING on page 64



Prime Minister Ahmet Davutoglu speaks to reporters in Ankara.

The point here is that these would be our headlines, too, if "Eurocentrism" was abolished completely. It would be an existence wherein whites disappeared from the news entirely but what would replace it would not be infantile left-wing rubbish about "universal humanity" but the opposite. The world is nationalist, the world is ethnocentric. "Universal humanity" extends no further than the borders of the lands where the 8% of the world's population who happen to be white live.

Part VI


Women and Girls

Celebrating The Beauty Of Red-Haired European Women

August 2015




It's often said that white nationalism is too negative, that, yes, we can deconstruct and attack, insult and pillory the present order but we must offer a positive ideal. I thought about this when I happened to come across a Telegraph article on the photography of a young woman from Sarajevo called Maja Topčagić. Maja has spent two years travelling Europe for a project based on the ethereal beauty of red haired women; she's calling her project "Freckles". Maja's hard work has resulted in an achingly beautiful celebration of European womanhood. The captions are the titles Maja has chosen.



Freckled



Freckled Blue Veil



Freckled Confetti



Freckled Daisies



Freckled Dandelion



Freckled Eyes Down



Freckled Floral



Freckled Flowers




Freckled Hand On Face



Freckled Heather



Freckled Pine




Freckled Rose



Freckled Ruff



Freckled Snow Flakes



Freckled Sunflower

This is the flip side of white nationalism; the “hate” and use of brutal terms to describe blacks and Jews and “cuckservatives” and all the rest is a reaction: we didn’t start this fight, they did. We are driven by love, not hate. As somebody else once noted:

The most precious possession you have in the world is your own people. And for this people, and for the sake of this people, we will struggle and fight, and never slacken, never tire, never lose courage, and never lose faith.

Muslim Lives Matter. English Girls' Do Not

September 2015



On Saturday an anti-Islam protest group called Britain First marked the first anniversary of the breaking of the Rotherham grooming scandal by holding a street march in Rotherham. This writer is no friend of the counter-Jihad movement, but it is always heartening to see normal white British people take to the streets and do something, even if they are being lied to, misinformed, misdirected, and used as pawns on a larger Zionist Chess board. (Britain First, to be fair, doesn't seem to be as prone to Israeli flag waving and "bomb Iran" stupidity as the others.) Rotherham, of course, is the English town where thousands of English girls were raped, sexually enslaved, and tortured by Asian men, mainly Pakistanis.

The reason this travesty was allowed—besides the English having this horde dumped on us in the first place—was because the child protection services, the local councils, and the local police were worried they would be called "racist" or that their fantasy "community relations" would be damaged if their dirty little secret got out. It was claimed that the mass rape of white girls at the hands of immigrants

we never wanted would “play into the hands of the far right”. Thousands of little white girls were horrifically violated, mentally and physically and emotionally wrecked, because of the cowardice and neo-Marxist insanity of the establishment; their lives meant nothing. And so you can imagine my utter astonishment, then, when I discovered that the anti-fascist counter demonstration was called “Rotherham Unites: Muslim Lives Matter” (!).



In the picture at the top of this article stands two left-wing lunatics with a Pakistani outside Rotherham police station. This would be the same Rotherham police station where, according to the police themselves, the following occurred:

The report said South Yorkshire Police also failed in its role to protect victims, turning a blind eye to their plight and in many cases holding them responsible. Police were said to be aware that a victim was ‘raped with a broken bottle’ and some girls were ‘ordered to kiss perpetrators’ feet at gun point’ but never took any action.

In one case an officer told a victim: ‘Don’t worry- you aren’t the first girl to be raped by XX and you won’t be the last’.



Sheffield Unite Against Fascism shared Rotherham Unite Against Fascism's event.

4 September at 15:41 ·

Message from Rotherham UAF today.

"Please share this far and wide and lets smash through 300 going by the end of today!!

ENOUGH IS ENOUGH! MUSLIM LIVES MATTER!"



05
SEPT

No To Britain First..Enough is Enough, M...

Sat 12:30 PM · All Saints Square · Rotherham

352 guests

[+ Join](#)

But heaven forbid you actually pay attention to the truth, to what we used to call "reality", as Unite Against fascism and the Pakis have decreed that Muslims are the real victims here.

The "Lives Matter" meme comes out of the equally controlled and anti-white arena of the American media which has it that a 150kg negro robbing a shop and charging a cop is also the victim when he's gunned down by the cop. Within what logical framework can Muslims adopt the memes created by Jews for blacks in America? Is 1400

raped and tortured white girls not enough? Should it be legalized? How are Muslims', Pakistanis', lives at risk? Where was the backlash which burned down their areas and drove them into the sea? The "Lives Matter" meme has as a presupposition that there has actually been a disproportionate number of a given group killed or persecuted, but in the case of Rotherham the Pakistanis and leftists were the persecutors, not the persecuted! The persecuted were girls called Emma and Tracey who had their tongues nailed to tables while being raped, or were doused in petrol and threatened with being burned alive. In what parallel universe, what reality or metaphysical thought-realm, can Pakistanis possibly suggest that they are the victim group when they were allowed free reign to rape and pillage young English womanhood at will?

The Pakistanis are just an invading force doing what an invading force always does—but what of the white leftists? Pathological altruism is one thing. Being a cowardly creep in the police force scared of losing your job is disgusting, but it is at least possible to understand. The bleeding heart middle-class liberal will go coy over Rotherham: it messes with their mind and a couple of platitudes of "a few bad apples" will be it. But the behaviour of Unite Against fascism, its few remaining whites at any rate, can only be called psychotic. It is insanity. The country is a gigantic lunatic asylum.

To be a normal white man in today's England is like being Charlton Heston in Planet Of The Apes. It's a mad house.

Final Note: as I publish this piece, news is breaking of yet another case of white girls being horrifically abused by Asians:

Jurors were told: "Notwithstanding that they were children, they spoke in terms of these men being their boyfriends. And they were passed from man to man - sometimes on a daily basis. The scale of it is, you may agree, horrifying. Girl A estimated that she had sex with about 60 men - six zero - almost all Asian."

But don't forget what those sick, rancid bastards of "Unite Against Fascism" tell you: "Muslim Lives Matter".

Part VII

Places

On Eastern Europe, France, and Germany

Project White Genocide: Globalists Prepare Eastern Offensive

September 2015



In a recent speech Jean Claude Juncker, president of the European Commission said:

In a plea for European generosity towards the 500,000 he said had entered the EU this year, Juncker said: "Europe is the baker in Kos who gives away his bread to hungry and weary souls. Europe is the students in Munich and in Passau who bring clothes for the new arrivals at the train station. Europe is the policeman in Austria who welcomes exhausted refugees upon crossing the border. This is the Europe I want to live in."

And just in case you're wondering, Europeans in the east, that means you, too. That means you too are going to be enriched, that means you too will have to become accustomed to seeing your women walk

down the street with negroes, and aggressive Muslims triumphantly erecting Mosques in Budapest, Bratislava, Vilnius, and Bucharest.

Membership of the European Union has thus far been a great boon for the former eastern bloc nations. Western Europe was made available and the youth of east Europe could flow in freely and undercut the natives' wages. A young couple from Poland working shifts in factories and restaurants could shuttle the money back home and buy a house. Hip and trendy multinationals started popping up in dusty east European towns and a new "cosmopolitan" atmosphere took hold. Subsidies raised from taxes in the west flooded in and rejuvenated the post-communist infrastructure, and then there was the safety blanket of being part of "the West" and tweaking the nose of the Russian bear. Great days.



Romania's Traditional Border Control Policy Worked

The problem is, when you sup with the devil—or rather, Marxists, globalists, banking cartels, multinationals, elitist liberal politicians and Jews—you have to pay a price, and that price, when it arrives, is extraordinarily high. The European Union is not about creating a sphere for Europeans to trade and co-operate toward mutual goals; it

is about creating a gigantic slave farm for the profit of the globalist elite. A racially homogeneous nation can galvanize and redirect the public will toward resisting this enslavement; a broken mass of rival ethnic groups forever going for each other's throats cannot. Eastern Europe rushed into the EU for the goodies and within just a decade or so they are now beginning to understand that it is in fact another gulag, and the doors have slammed shut behind them. And now there is talk about "compulsorily quotas". "You want the money for the roads and hospitals? first you have to settle 10,000 Africans, then we will talk".

The men of the East have traditionally provided Europe with a "watcher on the wall": the history of the east is awash with white European men shedding blood to keep the Islamic horde at bay. Because the neo-Marxist mind rot has not yet really set in, the globalists might well decide to bypass that, for now, and use Africans as their biological weapons of mass destruction in eastern Europe.

From the perspective of our enemies eastern Europe must be diversified:



Look who's back

1. Despite the relentless lies and propaganda of the Jewish-controlled media in the west, the multicultural project is, and was, always despised by the vast majority of people. An eastern Europe which is still white and still recognizably European is a constant reminder to west Europeans of what they have lost. The tough responses of east European politicians such as Victor Orban and Kristina Ojuland are seen, by the still remaining sane people in the west, as voices of hope, that all is not lost. An eastern Europe which has “embraced diversity” removes that hope.
2. The Jews who left eastern Europe at the beginning of the last century are now the most powerful group of people on earth. They have spent generations stewing in the perceived wrongs they have suffered at the hands of the east Europeans, and they will have not forgotten, they never do. Lacking a history of colonialism and slavery, the lies used by neo-Marxism to guilt trip western whites—the “pogroms” and other even bigger supposed outrages—will steadily drip their way into the media and political establishment via the EU. This will be framed as “the dark history of eastern Europe”; indeed, it is already, but it will increase exponentially as the campaign to dispossess eastern Europeans gathers speed. Likewise the traditional animosity with Islam will be re-configured: Hungarians and Slavs and the orthodox church will become aggressors with a history of “Islamophobia”.
3. Zionist neocons sitting in New York and Washington would love nothing more than to see, or for their children to witness, an ocean of Africans and Muslims lapping up against the borders of Russia.
4. One of the greatest creations of the world elites to have placed, subconsciously, in the minds of Europeans, is the idea that “globalization” is inevitable, that the steady erosion of European identity and living spaces is merely a naturally occurring phenomena, that it is evolution, the forward thrust of the human experience. This is of course a Big Lie that they have used in their media relentlessly. And so, for example, when “Romanian President Klaus Iohannis says Romania can take about 1,785 migrants, but opposes the European Commission’s call for it to take 6,351 migrants”, it is of no concern to

the globalists because Romania is already on the defensive, the rot has already begun. Quibbles over numbers are operating within the intellectual prism of having non-Europeans in Europe. A truly free European mind would see the accepting of *any* non-Europeans into the European living space as a grotesque infringement of natural law and European rights. This is the new morality we, both east and west, must forge.





You cannot have both

5. As noted above, a homogeneous nation can—and the Germany of the 1930's did—cast off the shackles of the money power and permanent debt. Maximum exploitation of the host population with zero risk involved necessarily means breaking down that homogeneity and the nation within which it resides.

The mistakes made in the west are multitudinous, the cowardice and apathy a scourge, the crimes committed against us incalculable. But all is not yet lost, and once again the men of the east must preserve Europe with an eye to supporting European men of honor in the west, in what could be our last fight. Given that the eternal ones are hell bent on eradicating us all, do we not now finally see the ancient petty troubles between Europeans as infantile? Does it matter to Poles if Germans go extinct 50 years before they? Can the Irish be proud that slightly fewer Irish girls were raped by Pakistanis than were English girls?

We must either become the greatest generation of European men to have ever lived, or become the last generation of European men to have lived.

France: The Land Without Race?

September 2015



In a recent TV interview French politician, Nadine Morano, caused her interviewer to be “shocked” by expressing the opinion that France was a “white race country”.

“We must keep a balance in the country, [preserve] its cultural majority to have national cohesion,” she told TV channel France 2. “We are a Judeo-Christian country – as General de Gaulle said – of white race that welcomes foreigners. I want France to remain France; I’m not looking forward to see it become a Muslim country.”

From a biological perspective this was certainly once true, less so now, but the native French are at least still there; they do exist. Morano then went on to defend her comment by pointing out that the word was “in the dictionary”. The word “race” might still be in the dictionary but it isn’t in the French legal system or Government

bureaucracy anymore because the left succeeded in banning the word in 2013. Here in Britain official documents are crammed full with questions of ethnicity and race; in France the Government does not keep track, officially at least, of the racial make-up of the country.


Ostensibly this is in accord with France's post enlightenment, revolutionary ideals of liberté, égalité, fraternité. The Brotherhood of Man made real. All are equal, all are citizens, equally, all are free unless hurting somebody else. Such lofty ideals may have seemed laudable to 18th century philosophers, but they could not possibly have conceived of these ideals extended to millions of Africans and Muslims. And even if they could have, they never had to live with it. The "rights of Man" is easy when your fellow man is an English fisherman or Flemish farmer, somewhat more troublesome when a Gambian or Algerian religious fanatic. Such laudable universalist values placed a poetic noose around the necks of the French long ago; all it took was the left to yank on the lever and watch them fall. Besides, even the "liberté" is compromised: in France you do not have the liberté to deny that the Holocaust happened.

The Left Front coalition, the political force which pushed to have the word banned, claimed, "In eliminating the legal category of race, the Assembly has helped our country move forward on ideological and educational levels." That would be "helping" leftist ideologies and education programs.

The preamble to the bill itself claimed, "The word 'race' has no scientific validity and it has been the basis for racist ideologies." That would be ideologies of the right, ideologies which would see the French remain a secure ethnic group, a white ethnic group, within their own land. The ideologies which have got Nadine Morano into trouble and "shocked" a TV host. This is Cultural Marxist "repressive tolerance" in action.

The problem is, of course, that banning words and changing bureaucratic jargon does not in any way effect the biological realities of race and ethnicity. Officially there are no races in France because they are all French with equal rights and respect. As the left well knew,

this just means it's impossible for white French people to find out how rapidly they are being phased out of existence—well, almost impossible.



So despite the word race “having no scientific validity”, non-Northern European racial groups are massively more effected by sickle-cell anemica; white children are not even tested. Above we can see the appalling magnitude of the French demographic problem. But it still isn't enough, and the lies and double think continue. On the 17th of December 2008, President Nicholas Sarkozy delivered a speech in

which he suggests the “raceless” French state should actively coerce the native French into interbreeding with non-whites.

But why? If race is merely an outdated concept and belongs only to “hate ideologies” then what does it matter if the native French interbreed with non-whites? Indeed, it hardly matters what colour the population is—if French ideals are to be believed. Isn’t that the point?

The truth is, far from being a land where race is no longer relevant, France is a land which has morphed its entire civic code to destroy one race.

Germany and The Long Defeat

November 2015



The Jewish-run New York Times reports:

SUMTE, Germany — This bucolic, one-street settlement of handsome redbrick farmhouses may for the moment have many more cows than people, but next week it will become one of the fastest growing places in Europe. Not that anyone in Sumte is very excited about it.

In early October, the district government informed Sumte's mayor, Christian Fabel, by email that his village of 102 people just over the border in what was once Communist East Germany would take in 1,000 asylum seekers.

His wife, the mayor said, assured him it must be a hoax. "It certainly can't be true" that such a small, isolated place would be asked to accommodate nearly 10 times

as many migrants as it had residents, she told him. "She thought it was a joke," he said.

But it was not. Sumte has become a showcase of the extreme pressures bearing down on Germany as it scrambles to find shelter for what, by the end of the year, could be well over a million people seeking refuge from poverty or wars in Africa, Syria, Iraq, Afghanistan and elsewhere.

It then goes on:

In a small concession to the villagers, Alexander Götz, a regional official from Lower Saxony, told them this week that the initial number of refugees, who start arriving on Monday and will be housed in empty office buildings, would be kept to 500, and limited to 750 in all.

One of the few people, in fact, who seem enthusiastic about the plan for Sumte is Holger Niemann, 32, an admirer of Hitler and the lone neo-Nazi on the elected district council. He rejoices at the opportunities the migrant crisis has offered.

"It is bad for the people, but politically it is good for me," Mr. Niemann said of the plan, which would leave the German villagers outnumbered by migrants by more than seven to one.

Germans face "the destruction of our genetic heritage" and risk becoming "a gray mishmash," Mr. Niemann added.

Being a "neo-Nazi" and "admirer of Hitler" gives Mr Niemann something of an advantage in understanding the current crisis in Germany. Writing 90 years ago Hitler said:

The Jew uses every possible means to undermine the racial foundations of a subjugated people. In his systematic efforts to ruin girls and women he strives to break down the last barriers of discrimination between him and other peoples. The Jews were responsible for bringing negroes into the Rhineland, with the ultimate idea of bastardizing the white race which they hate and thus lowering its cultural and political level so that the Jew might dominate. For as long as a people remain racially pure and are conscious of the treasure of their blood, they can never be overcome by the Jew. Never in this world can the Jew become master of any people except a bastardized people.

And now that the negro has entered the Rhineland they are quite brazenly stating that they are there to finish off the Germans once and for all, as they were meant to.

It's easy to get carried away "naming the Jew" by studiously documenting their think tanks, media representation, their governmental influence, and authorship of endless anti-European diatribes in academia.

But when we look at history through a wider lens, what we can see is an epic war of annihilation being waged upon our people, by them, now reaching its apotheosis. Germany has borne the brunt because our enemies always aim for the heart, and Germany is and was the beating spiritual heart of European civilization.

The main photograph to this article is intended to shock, in that even regular visitors to a site such as mine might think a header featuring Waffen SS troops a little too much. But if we are going to get out of this mess we must demystify and thoroughly clean out the garbage which has been drip-fed us all our lives. In the larger context of the war waged upon Germany for the last 100 years or more, the Waffen SS were a reaction, a fighting back against genuine evil, the whipped dog biting back. Within this grand drama the forces of darkness are represented by international Jewry and their various Marxist tentacles, liberalism and global Finance and media domination. The forces of light are Europeans on their own soil striving to find an existence free of the dark and to secure a future for their kind and a spiritually fulfilling life on this earth.

In Tolkien's Middle Earth the elves represent the high and virtuous and noble; like modern-day Europeans they too have been fighting what Galadriel called "the long defeat":

"For the Lord of the Gladhrim is accounted the wisest of the Elves of Middle-Earth, and a giver of gifts beyond the power of kings. He has dwelt in the West since the days of dawn, and I have dwelt with him years uncounted; for ere the fall of Nargothrond or Gondolin I passed over the mountains, and together through the ages of the world we have fought the long defeat."



The nature of the “long defeat” is the forces of darkness—the base, the corrupt, the liar, the deceiver, the ignorant, the moronic, and alien—seeping their way into the realm of the light and degrading it bit by bit, year on year. The sorcerers of darkness are materialists, and superficial. The high people of the light ethereal and spiritual, bonded to nature.

The great German composer Richard Wagner recognized this, and the true nature of the struggle:

It certainly may be right to charge this purblind dullness of our public spirit to a vitiation of our blood – not only by departure from the natural food of man, but above all by the tainting of the hero-blood of noblest races with that of former cannibals now trained to be the business-agents of society.



Do we feel our breath fast quitting us beneath the pressure of an alien civilization; do we fall into uncertainty about ourselves: we have only to dig to the roots in the true father-soil of our language to reap at once a reassuring answer on ourselves, nay on the truly human. And this possibility of always drawing from the pristine fount of our own nature that makes us feel ourselves no more a race, no mere variety of man, but one of manhood's primal branches — tis this that ever has bestowed on us great men and spiritual heroes.

And it is precisely because Northern Europeans are “no mere variety of man” that our enemies are flooding Germany with the negro and Islamic hordes, and it is for this reason that we must meet, challenge, and reverse the long defeat and smash forever the forces aligned against us. All Europeans lost World War II because the victors deemed it illegitimate for us to follow our own biological and spiritual path. What began at Stalingrad has culminated in Germans being outnumbered by a factor of 7-1 by Africans and Arabs on German soil. This grand historical opera which began in Germany must also end in Germany, with the people of the light victorious and finally bringing the circle of destruction to a close.

Epilogue

Wishing You All A Merry Christmas

December 2015



Over the next couple of weeks I will desperately try and plug myself back into the Matrix mainframe to enjoy what should be a typically, and specifically, European festive season. It won't work: we out here in the badlands all know you do not get to bluepill yourself for a week or two because it's convenient, but we will all try nevertheless.

We will hear the awful dirge of the Christmas pop songs and pretend to cheer when we know it's just an add-on to the disposable consumerist garbage we have bought because being a revolutionary nationalist is one thing, while letting family down and then compounding their disappointment with vague ramblings of taking on the global money power is a different matter entirely.

It's easy to be cynical; indeed, the challenge is not to be. We all know the fluff on the TV our friends and family are excited about will be, more than likely, designed and written by Jews or some left-wing prick with a grudge. The subversive message will be insidious and hard to explain without coming across as a lunatic. Family is the key, and old friends. Just let the nostalgia wash over you like a balsam and sea salt bath scrub you would never dream of actually buying for yourself.

But then there's the children. It's a trigger, this word "children". Demographics, Muslims, blacks, rapes, groomings, random stabbings, the noose is tightening, the frog is boiling... "fuck me, what's it going to be like when our Jennifer is 25?!"

It is a tragically fake farce but an important one for us to take part in because it will remind us why we do what we do.



I opened this blog last Christmas, on Boxing Day, to be precise, after burning through hundreds of accounts trying to spread radical white nationalist ideas on the comments of MSM news articles. To my

amazement the Hasbara and SJW's they had as moderators kept deleting my pearls of wisdom. Nevertheless, with this blog sitting on around 1,000 hits per day and growing, I think it's fair to say it's been worthwhile.

However, I didn't do it alone. My special thanks go to my old comments slingers from the Disqus comments sections, Copyright for keeping watch on the comments, Lapin and Jim for some brilliant articles, and to Dr Eigenvector whose graphics and memes have largely made this blog what it is.

I'd also like to give a heartfelt thank you to people who have contributed financially. I'm not financially dependent on this blog and there will never be begging posts as I know how irritating that is. I have not replied with a personal thank you because I did not want to intrude or be responsible for an awkward situation with a spouse who asked why money was going to a "hate site" etc.

Considering my blog is still a relatively minor outpost within the alt-right scene, the sheer volume of comments and the community which has galvanized below the line has been a joy to behold. My special thanks to all the M-Rev regulars for making the comments section the most lively and informative of any blog anywhere.

And so to the readers, the admins, writers, and comment regulars, Merry Christmas.

We will be entering 2016 with a wallop...